12 DECEMBER 1947

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Fage
SHIRATORI, Toshio (resumed)	35144
Redirect (cont'd) by Mr. Caudl Redirect (cont'd) by Mr. Brook	e 35144 s 35146
(Witness excus	ed and he dock)35154
SUZUKI, Teiichi, (an Accused)	35172
Direct by Mr. Levin	35172
NOON RECESS	35204
Direct (cont'd) by Mr. Levin Direct (cont'd) by Mr. Logan	35205 35251
AFTERNOON RECESS	35253
Direct (cont'd) by Mr. Logan	35254
Cross by Brigadier Nolan	35254

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.E	In vidence
	3600		Pamphlet entitled "Observations of the Japanese Government on the Report of the Commission of Inquiry	35152	
2899	3601		Affidavit of INOUE, Sabure		35158
2901	3602	-5.	Affidavit of KAMEYAMA		35162
2839	3603		Names of Control Associa tions and their com- petent authorities regulated by the Act o Organizations	f	35165
2839-1	3603-A		Ditto		35165
	3603-B		Ditto		35165
2900	3604		Affidavit of Prince HIGASHIKUNI, Naruhike		35169
			MORNING RECESS		35171
2902	3605		Affidavit of SUZUKI, Telichi		35173
197	3606	10	Affidavit of Hu Lin		35182
		3607 -	Pamphlet entitled "China Policy Reference Material" compiled September 1933 3	5261	
3147 - C		3607-A	Document received from Lt-Colonel SUZUKI on 12 July 1933		35261

Friday, 12 December 1947 1 2 3 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL 4 FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan 6 7 The Imbunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 8 at 0930. 9 Appearances: 10 For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE SIR WILLIAM F. 11 12 WEBB, Member from the Commonwealth of Australia, not 13 sitting from 0930 to 1600. 14 For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before. 15 16 (English to Japanese and Japanese 17 to English interpretation was made by the 18 Language Section, IMTFE.) 19 20 21 22 23

24

Greenhorg & Yelden

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

ACTING PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except MATSUI who is represented by counsel. We have a certificate from the prison surgeon at Sugamo certifying that he is ill and unable to attend the trial today. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

MR. CAUDLE: Just one question, your Honor.
Before asking the witness a question, I'd like to call
attention of the Court to the last four documents
introduced by Mr. Sandusky yesterday, namely, exhibit
3596A, 3596B, 3597A and 3598. It seems that 3597A and
3598 were introduced for identification only, and only
excerpts from these documents were placed in evidence.
We desire to request that the entire documents be
placed in evidence, not to be read at the present time
but in order that we may refer to them in summation.

ACTING PRESIDENT: They may be so admitted.

MR. CAUDLE: And also, if you please -
ACTING PRESIDENT: Wait until the Clerk gives
a number to them.

MR. CAUDLE: They already have an exhibit number but only for identification.

3

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

17 18

19

21

22 23

24 25 ACTING PRESIDENT: Well, let them have the same exhibit No. and remove the "for identification only."

What are the two exhibit Nos. for identification?

MR. CAUDLE: 3597A and 3598.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Well, now, what is the situation?

is in. I think it is not in. Like the man that trumped his partner's ace, I don't want to take a chance.

If it please the Tribunal, it seems there is some confusion. He insists it is in evidence; and, if it is in, I don't insist that it be placed in again.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Apparently, every document except the book was placed in evidence. The book itself was received for identification only, so the record can stand as it is.

MR. CAUDLE: Well, sir, there seems to be some conflict in the translations, and I would like to refer all four documents to the translation section.

ACTING PRESIDENT: You have all been told many times that you can do that without referring it

to the Tribunal.

MR. CAUDLE: I have just one question for the witness.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

TOSHIO SHIRATORI, an accused, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CAUDIE (Continued):

Q Mr. SHIRATORI, you stated on yesterday that you collaborated in the drafting of the Japanese reply to the Lytton Report. Please explain to the Tribunal just what part you took in the matter.

A Among the persons mentioned by the prosecutor yesterday who participated in drafting the reply, one of the most important members was omitted, and that person was Dr. Baty, an Englishman, an adviser to the Foreign Office. My work was to put into Japanese the draft reply prepared in English by Dr. Baty.

Q Then you had no part in the actual formulation of the document itself?

A No.

THE MONITOR: Japanese court reporter.

(Whereupon, the Japanese court reporter read.)

9

23 24

.5

7

.8

9

11

12

13

14

15

18

questions. 4 page 35 -accused? to 16 17 19 20

THE INTERPRETER: Not much. MR. CAUDLE: I think Mr. Brooks has some

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, on

ACTING PRESIDENT: Pardon me. For which

AR. BROOKS: For MINAMI.

MR. SANDUSKY: May it please the Tribunal, I am informed this is not cross-examination.

MR. BROOKS: Certainly not. I wouldn't be here. On redirect.

THE MONITOR: Will the court reporter repeat that statement?

(Whereupon, the last statement was read by the official court reporter.)

MR. BROOKS: That should be clarified by saying, I wouldn't be here at this time. This is the time for redirect.

21

22

29

24

REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. BROOKS:

Q Mr. Witness, at page 35,082, the question was asked: "Is it not true that in October 1932 you were appointed a member of a committee entitled, 'Committee for Drafting the Protest to the Lytton Report!?"-and you answered: "No such committee was ever created for such a specific purpose, but I did take part in drafting the Japanese reply to the Lytton Report."

Now, as I understand, in your last answer to your counsel that this drafting of the Japanese reply was merely a transcribing from the English into Japanese and, I take it from that, that we may infer that the original draft was therefore not prepared by you and was prepared in English by other personnel?

A Yes.

Q However, you are familiar, of course, with the reply in its entirety, are you not?

A Well, at that time I remembered the contents very well but today I have completely forgotten.

However, if I may see a copy I might refresh my memory.

Q I wanted to ask you if the copy that was shown you yesterday that was marked exhibit 3427-A, if that is the original reply from the Japanese filed

. 3

1 a

3

4

5

7

9

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

20

22

24

with the commission or if that was just a copy from a Japanese source of the original?

ACTING PRESIDENT: How does this affect MINAMI?

MR. BROOKS: I will connect it up, I promise you, your Honor.

A I think it is the original of the Japanese-Correction: I think it is a pamphlet published by the
Foreign Office based upon the original of the Japanese
reply.

Q Now I will hand you an official document of the series of the League of Nations publications of Political Division VII for 1932. This official document of the League of Nations series is marked, if you will look at the front, Mr. Witness, C775 M366, 1932, VII, is it not?

A Yes.

Q In the lower corner of that document is it not stamped as an official document by the publisher of the series of League of Nations publications, Political Subdivision VII for 1932?

A Yes, as you say.

Q Now, can you tell from a perusal of that document if it is a copy published by the League of Nations body and made from the original report or

reply which they must have received from the Japanese?

A Well, I have no time to read the entire document here now, but from the entire form as I see it here, I believe that this is probably as you say.

Q An official document from the League of Nations?

A I do not think there is any mistake about that.

Q And does the subject matter contained therein cover the reply verbatim that you took part in drafting from the English to the Japanese?

A I believe that it is so.

Q Now I want to ask you another question or two before I come back to that document.

At the time of the WAKATSUKI Cabinet, you have testified, I believe, that you were in the Foreign Office?

A Yes.

Q That Baron SHIDEHARA was the Minister for Foreign Affairs and that General MINAMI was the Minister of War in that cabinet?

A Yes.

Now, in preparing this reply to the commission, did you make any -- in your preparation did you run onto any comments as to instructions by Japanese officials in relation to forbidding the participation

b

3

2

6

/

9

11

13

14

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

by Japanese in various attempts to establish a new political authority in Manchuria?

A I believe that such instructions of the Japanese Government were incorporated or quoted in the reply.

Q Can you state anything as to the date that such instructions to Japanese officials in Manchuria were given?

A With regard to the date I have no recollection.

Q Would it refresh your recollection if I would say that on the 26th of September instructions were issued to the Japanese officials in Manchuria strictly forbidding participation by any Japanese in various attempts to establish a new political authority in Manchuria?

A Yes, I think somewhere about that time.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Brooks, my attention has been called to this rule of the Court: "Other counsel for individual accused may examine a witness on redirect examination only on matters brought out on cross-examination and specifically relating to his client and not covered by the general redirect examination."

What was brought out on cross-examination specifically relating to MINAMI?

MR. BROOKS: Specifically, I wouldn't say that there was anything specifically. However, there was cross-examination into the matters of the relations between the Foreign Office, of which this man .ss a member, and the War Ministry, of which MINAMI was the head. And there was suggestions that some of the younger members of the War Ministry may have been trying to get information for something that the prosecution may later try to label as improper activities on their part. I went to show the position of the War Minister in this matter and the way that I am trying to do that is to 12 show what his action had been to this witness' testi-13 mony, and what the purpose of this reply was and also 14 how in the War Ministery MINAMI and others relied upon the information that they obtained to this reply from the Foreign Ministery.

My examination is especially simed at starting at page 35,072 and thereafter in the references of the prosecution to the younger officers of the Ver Ministry. I want to find from this examination I am into now how much information this man has on this point which I can prove in the official publication from the League of Nations that he did have knowledge of, and follow that with the documents that he saw or may have seen during this period.

20

19

16

17

22

MR. SANDUSKY: Mr. President.

ACTING PRISIDENT: Mr. Sandusky.

MR. SANDUSKY: In our submission the grounds for continuing this line of questioning as proposed by Mr. Brooks are clearly beyond the scope of the ruling as quoted by the President. We submit he is confined to facts and matters brought out in cross-exemination rather than the supposition of the inferences that may be drawn from them later. As I understood counsel, he is enticipating -- he is conducting this examination in anticipation of additional evidence on this point. On the precise point of the relationship of material on cross-examination to defendant MINAMI, I think it should be pointed out to the Court that defendant MINAMI left the position of War Minister on Lecember 14, 1931, with 16 the fall of the WAKATSUKI Cabinet, and that the committee, 17 or commission, formal or informal as it may have been, 18 of which Mr. SHIRATORIT was a member, gathered to draft the protest to the Lytton Report was not until at least October of the next year.

I, therefore, object to the line of questioning which is calculated to bring into evidence a document which has been rejected on several occasions.

MR. BROOKS: I would like to ask the witness a question when this reply was drafted because I am not

23 24

20

21

10

12

13

certain of that.

1

3

6

11

16

17

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

ACTING PRESIDENT: Not until we decide this question.

Objection sustained by a majority.

MR. BROOKS: What is my position now as I understand it, your Honor? Is the Court saying --ACTING PRESIDENT: Your position now is that

this line of cross-examination must be discontinued.

MR. BROOKS: I understand that that is upon the objection of the prosecution that MINAMI is not implicated in the cross-examination and the Court says that I don't have that right to cross-examine since my accused is not implicated in it.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Whatever understanding you 15 have is your own.

MR. BROOKS: I would like to ask that the document handed the witness be marked for identification as exhibit 3427A since it is an official publication of the League of Nations and not a copy as was so in the other instances. And I would like for the record to show that I made the proffer of proof and an offer at this time of this document into evidence for that purpose for the part shown on page 28, the second full paragraph therein, for the defense of General MINAMI.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Pomphlet entitled

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

. 14

16

17

18

20

21

23

..

Observations of the Japanese Government on the Report of the Commission of Inquiry will receive exhibit No. 3600 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3600 for identification.)

MR. SANDUSKY: Mr. President, I object to the admission into evidence of any excerpt of the exhibit just offered for identification.

ACTING PRESIDENT: You don't have to object ahead of time.

MR. SANDUSKY: I understood, sir -- Mr. President, I wasn't clear myself, but counsel informs me --

MR. BROOKS: I made a proffer. I made a proffer and in making the proffer I offered it into evidence for the record's purposes.

ACTING PRESIDENT: In order that the record may be straight, the document that you offered for identification is admitted for identification only.

Any other documents that you offered, if you did offer any are --

MR. BROOKS: All in the same document. I offered it into evidence. After having it marked for identification I offered it into evidence and Mr. Sandusky was objecting to it. ACTING PRESIDENT: Whatever the situation is, such documents, if any, are rejected.

MR. BROOKS: I thought, your Honor, since this was an official document itself, it could not have the objection that it was propaganda or something of that sort. There was no proper objection against it. That is why I offered it.

MR. CAUDLE: Mr. President.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Caudle.

MR. CAUDLE: We have concluded the presentation of evidence on behalf of the accused SHIRATORI at this time.

ACTING PRESIDENT: The witness will resume his place in the dock.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

4 5

DR. TAKAYANAGI: Mr. President, I am
TAKAYANAGI, counsel for the accused SUZUKI, and
desire to read the opening statement on his behalf.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Wait until the Members of the Tribunal have received their copies.

DR. TAKAYANAGI: Our opening statement for the accused SUZUKI is brief. "Brevity" is said to be "the soul of wit" but we hope that in this particular instance it will also be a symbol of innocence.

1. The prosecution accused SUZUKI for the interest he evinced in politics around the years 1931 and 1932 (page 16,927 of the record). In order to show that such interest was not of any sinister but on the contrary of a laudable kind, we shall produce the witness, INOUE, Saburo. He will testify as to the circumstances in which SUZUKI became acquainted with Prince KONOYE, Marquis KIDO and Baron HARADA, and also as to the reason for, and the character of, the conversations which SUZUKI conducted with Marquis KIDO and Baron HARADA.

2. The prosecution appears to imply that the Commodity Mobilization Plan and other plans, in the preparation of which SUZUKI was engaged after his appointment as President of the Planning Board, had as their aim and object the initiation of hostilities

against America and Great Britain. In order to show that they were nothing of the kind, we already called as witness, MAYAMA, Kanji, former investigator of the Planning Board. We call the attention of the Tribunal to his testimony which is to be found on pages 18,357 to 18,379 of the record.

3. Mr. Liebert alleges in his testimony (page 8,406 of the record), that SUZUKI, as President of the Planning Board, controlled Japanese economy conjointly with the heads of the Control Associations. In order to show that the President of the Planning Board had nothing to do with the Control Associations we propose to produce defense document No. 2839-A, B, and C.

4. The prosecution accuses SUZUKI of a political role he played during the last days of the Third KONOYE Cabinet. We admit that SUZUKI played some part toward the formation of the succeeding cabinet by Prince HIGASHIKUNI. In order to show, however, that the endeavors of the accused were motivated by his earnest desire to bring diplomatic negotiations with America to a success, we shall call HIGASHIKUNI, Naruhiko to testify as to how SUZUKI beseeched him to consent to form the succeeding cabinet, if so directed by the Emperor, with the view of warding off an armed

conflict with America.

reliance on an account in a document entitled "The Foreign Affairs Monthly Report" that the Planning Board had something to do with the transfer and employment of prisoners of war (page 16,935 of the record). In order to show that the prosecution is entirely in error, we shall call KAMEYAMA, Koichi, former head of the Third Division of the Planning Board to testify that the report mentioned above was prepared without any knowledge on the part of the Planning Board and that the account contained therein is entirely mistaken.

6. Lastly, we shall call the accused SUZUKI himself to the witness-box to testify regarding his acts during the period covered by the Indictment, the nature and character thereof, and the attending circumstances. His position in relation to China will be indicated by the testimony of Mr. Hu-Lin and will be offered by defense document 197.

We desire to state here that at this stage of the proceedings we deemed it proper and did our best to prepare and arrange the evidence for the accused in an objective rather than in a contentious spirit, or may we possibly say in that frame of mind which

•

23

24

25

characterized Benedictus de Spinoza, the Dutch
philosopher, when he declared in a magisterial air,
"Humanas actiones non ridere, non lugere, neque
destestari, sed intelligere."

Mr. Levin will now proceed to present evidence on behalf of the accused SUZUKI.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: May it please the Tribunal.

We offer in evidence defense document No. 2899, the affidavit of INOUE, Saburo, in relation to General SUZUKI's activities in the early 30's.

The prosecution has advised us that they do not propose to cross-examine any of the witnesses who will testify on behalf of the accused.

ACTING PRESIDENT: It will be admitted in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2899 will receive exhibit No. 3601.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3601 and received in evidence.)

MR. LEVIN: I proceed to read exhibit 3601, omitting the formal parts.

"1. I was Marquis, member of the House of Peers and Major General of the Japanese Army. Since

7 8

my childhood I have had close associations with KIDO, Koichi, and KONOYE, Fumimaro, by reason of my father's friendly intercourse with their families. Baron HARADA, Kumao, private secretary to Prince SAIONJI, the Elder Statesman, has become also an intimate friend of mine through KIDO and KONOYE.

"2. During my service in the Army I came to contract close friendship with SUZUKI, Teiichi. He was my good companion in golf tournaments as well as in 'chanoyu', the tea ceremony.

"3. I often invited KILO, KONOYE, HARADA and SUZUKI to dinner parties at my home. As SUZUKI often met KIDO and the others at my home, he seemed to have also become friendly with them.

d'etat called the March Incident some time after it had been disclosed. It was my belief that SUZUKI's admonitions to the insurgents had been one of the patent factors in checking the cutbreak of this incident.

I once told my close friend HARADA something to that effect.

"5. Around August and September, 1931 (Showa 6) rumors regarding the March Incident gradually began to spread. And along with this an increasing tendency appeared among young captains and lieutenants to discuss

not only politics but even almost openly to advocate the necessity of national renovation by the use of military force. .17

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Judging from what I was told by KIDO and HARADA, Count MAKINO, Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal, and Prince SAIONJI, the Elder Statesmen were most worried about unlawful movements of this kind. With a view to smoothing the way for the sound development of parliamentary government, they ordered them (KIDO and HARADA) to collect information and discuss with some of the moderate and right minded officers of the army to study the methods of maintaining military discipline in the army.

"Probably it was for this reason that,
when KIDO, HARADA and SUZUKI met at dinner parties or
tea ceremony at my home, KIDO and HARADA often put
questions to SUZUKI and myself about the state of
affairs in the army and sounded our views concerning
the maintenance of military discipline. These meetings were not, however, held for any political purpose.
They were ordinary social gatherings among friends, in
the course of which politics were incidentally discussed."

Signed "INOUE, Saburo, on this 24th day of October, 1947."

No. 3 on our order of proof, defense document No. 197, will be tendered with the evidence of Mr. SUZUKI.

I now offer defense document No. 2901, the affidavit of KAMEYAMA, Koichi, to the effect that the Planning Board had no authority in relation to prisoners of war. ACTING PRESIDENT: It will be admitted in 5 evidence. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 7 2901 will receive exhibit No. 3602. 8 (Whereupon, the document above 9 referred to was marked defense exhibit 10 No. 3602 and received in evidence.) 11 MR. LEVIN: I now read defense document, 12 exhibit 3602, the testimony of KAMEYAMA, Koichi, omitting 13 the formal parts: 14 "1. I served as Director of the Third 35 16 Division of the Planning Board from November, 1941, to July, 1943. 17 "2. The Planning Board was not invested with 18 any authority whatsoever in relation to the management 20 of the Prisoners of War, and the Planning Board did not formulate any principles for the transfer and 21 employment of prisoners of war, nor was it, during my tenure of office, ever entrusted to do so. "3. I was shown exhibit 1971(a), an abstract

from the 'Foreign Affairs Monthly Report' for September,

1942, published by the Foreign Section of the Police Bureau of the Home Ministry.

"The statement in the first paragraph of the above exhibit considerably deviates from the actual facts. As for the second paragraph, I have not the slightest knowledge of such facts as mentioned therein. The actual situation in relation to the conference on August 15, 1942, mentioned in the first paragraph, was as follows:

"Early in August 1942 one of my subordinates in the Planning Board received a reuquest by the Prisoners of War Information Bureau of the War Ministry of the following tenor:

portion of the Prisoners of War detained in the southern region be transferred to Japan Proper to be employed in domestic industries. We want to give an explanation relative to this matter to all the ministries concerned and we request that the Planning Board convene a meeting of the representatives of such ministries at a certain date so that an officer of the War Ministry may go and explain the matter.'

"When officials of several ministries meet, the Planning Board had frequently lent its conference rooms for such purpose and also offered its services

for convening such meetings.

"One of my subordinates, therefore, consented to this request of the Prisoners of War Information Bureau and convened the meeting in behalf of the said bureau. The five principles enumerated in the first paragraph in exhibit 1971(A) was presumably one which was read by the War Ministry official in charge of the said bureau at the said meeting.

held under the auspices of the Planning Board. It was a meeting held under the auspices of the Prisoners of War Information Bureau for which the Planning Board merely lent its conference room, and for the convening of which it rendered its services according to the customary way in such cases. I had given my approval to the loan of the conference room, but I did not, of course, notify the president or the vice-president of such routine matters.

"5. The 'Foreign Affairs Monthly Report' was not distributed to the Planning Board."

Signed, "KAMEYAMA, Koichi, on this 27th day of August, 1947."

We offer in evidence defense document No. 2839, A and B, in relation to control associations and which indicate the ministries that had competent

authority over those. It will be noted this Planning Board had no jurisdiction over these ministries. We will not read this but ask that it be 2 made a part of the transcript of the record. 3 ACTING PRESIDENT: It will be admitted in 5 evidence. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 7 2839, A and B, will receive exhibit No. 3603. 8 MR. LEVIN: That exhibit number should 9 include A and B in the principal one, that is, the 10 three documents: 11 CLERK OF THE COURT: Correction: Defense 12 document 2839 will receive exhibit No. 3603; defense 13 document 2839A will receive exhibit No. 3603A; defense 14 document 2839B will receive exhibit No. 3603B. 15 (Whereupon, the documents above 16 referred to were marked defense exhibits 17 Nos. 3603, 3603A, and 3603B, respectively, 18 19 and received in evidence.) MR. LLVIN: Mr. President, may the reporters 20 be directed to transcribe those into the record. 21 22 ACTING PRESIDENT: They will do so. 23 (Dofense exhibit No. 3603, which was 24 not read, is as follows:) 25

"Name of Control Associations and their competent authorities regulated by the Act of Organiza-tions of Principal Industries. "Name of Association: Shipbuilding Control Association. "Date of Authorization: January 27, 1942. "Competent Minister: Minister of Communi-cation."

.

```
(Defense exhibit 3603-A, which was
1
       not read, is as follows:)
2
            "Names of Control Associations and their
3
   competent authorities regulated by the Act of
4
   Organizations of Principal Industries.
5
            Name of Association; Date Authorization;
   Competent Minister:
7
            "Iron and Steel Control Association,
8
   April 26, 1941, Minister of Commerce and Industry;
9
10
            "Coal Control Association, Yovember 26,
11
   1941. ditto;
12
            "Mines Control Association, December 28.
13
   1941, ditto;
14
            "Cement Control Association, December 18,
15
   1941, ditto;
16
            "Vehicles Control Association, December
17
   22, 1941, ditto;
18
            "Automobile Control Association; Pecember
19
   23, 1941, ditto;
20
            "Precision Instruments Control Association,
21
   January 10, 1942, ditto;
22
            "Electrical Machines Control Association,
23
   January 12, 1942, ditto;
24
            "Industrial Machines Control Association,
25
   January 15, 1942, ditto;
```

"Metallic Industry Control Association, 1 February 1, 1942, ditto: "Light Metals Control Association, 3 September 1, 1942, ditto; 4 "Hides and Leather Control Association, September 21, 1942, ditto; 6 "Oil and Grease Control Association, 7 ecember 1, 1942, ditto; 8 "Chemical Industry Control Association, 9 October 30, 1942, ditto. 10 "Rubber Control Association, January 25, 11 1943, ditto; 12 "Fibre Control Association, October 31, 13 1943, ditto." 14 (Defense exhibit 3603-B, which was not 15 read, is as follows:) 16 "Names of Control Associations and their 17 competent authorities regulated by the Act of Organi-18 zations of Principal Industries. 19 "Name of Association, Tate of Authorization, 20 Competent Minister: 21 22 "The Railroad and Tramway Control Association, 23 May 30, 1942, Minister of Transportation." 24 We now offer defense document No. 2900, the 25 affidavit of Prince HIGASHIKUNI, Naruhiko in relation

to the selection of a Prime Minister on the fall of the Third KONOYE Cabinet and prior to the selection of TOJO as Prime Minister in October, 1941.

ACTING PRESIDENT: It will be admitted in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2900 will receive exhibit No. 3604.

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 3604 and received in evidence.)

MR. LEVIN: I now read exhibit 3604, the testimony of Frince "IGASHIKUNI, omitting the formal parts:

Prime Minister, Prince KONOYE, called at my residence and asked me to head the next cabinet since his cabinet had decided to resign.

"2. Early the next morning Teiichi SUŽUKI,
President of the Planning Board, called on me and made
a statement to the following effect:

Prince KONOYE, to explain to Your Lighness the present condition of our national strength so that the same may duly be taken into account by Your Highness in the event your Highness forms the next cabinet. Recently, the

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

elements clamoring for a discontinuance of the American-Japanese negotiations and commencing a war against America and Britain are in the ascendant, but it is incumbent upon us to do our utmost to avoid such a war, and especially in view of the present condition of our national strength. Considering the negative attitude of the navy, which has to bear the brunt in the event of a war with America, it must be declared highly dangerous that army people should be urging for war. But since Prince KONOYE, is, after all, a mere subject, it is beyond his power to evade the war. There is no other alternative than to rely upon the prestige and influence of Your Highness. I, therefore, beg Your Highness' permission to explain the present state of our national strength as revealed in the 'Materials Mobilization Program' so that Your Highness might take that into account when the next cabinet is formed by Your Highness.'

"So saying, he explained the matter on the basis of relevant figures and he added, 'Not only Prince KONOYE, but I personally respectfully solicit Your Highness to condescend to form the cabinet, as this is most essential in view of the present conditions of our country.'

"3. On the evening of the same day, however,

4 5

Prince KONOYE sent a letter to me, through his private secretary, notifying me that the question regarding the resignation of his cabinet and the consequent cabinet formation by me had been shelved.

KONOYE, on August 3 and on September 5, 1941, and also urged upon the War Minister, General ToJO, on September 7, 1941, that they do their level best to bring American-Japanese negotiations to a successful conclusion, thus allaying deep anxiety of His Majesty, the Emperor. I may presume, therefore, that both of them had a full understanding of my attitude toward the Japanese-American problem."

Signed, "HIGASHIKUNI, Naruhiko, on this 18 day of October, 1947, at Toky."

I now call as a witness on his own behalf SUZUKI, Teichi, whose testimony will be tendered by affidavit, defense document No. 2902.

ACTING PRESIDENT: We will recess at this time for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

DIRECT	
MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Internal ACTING PRESENT	35,172
f 2 Military Tribunes THE COURT: The Tribunes	
& 3 ACTING ACTING	ational
L 4 NOW 1	n session
e of TEIICHI SUZUKI.	
e 6 dura SUZUKT	
r 7 an accused, best	
e of TEIICHI SUZUKI, an accused, being duly sworn, testified through Japanese in DIRECT FOR	ng first
DIRECT EXAMINATION	/
	1
11 Will you plans	1
A SUZUKI, Teiichi.	
13 Q Are FOU or	
A Yes. Are you an accused in this case?	
75 1 N/D	
15 MR. LEVIN: Will Captain Van Meter kindly 16 hand the witness defense document No. 2902? (Whereupon, a document to the minute of the minut	1
17 Witness defense document Meter kindly	
(Whereupon, a document No. 2902? to the witness.) Q Does	
witness)	
your at	
A Yes. Signature appear on the	
21 Q Are the	2
22 correct? contents contained	1
22 therein +-	1 1
A Yes.	1 /
MR. LEVIN: Tool	
MR. LEVIN: I offer in evidence defense on his own behalf	
on his own behalf.	
document No. 2902, the testimony of SUZUKI, Teiichi,	
	/ 9

I was despa-

22 financial and economic practi-

23 October, 1920, I was ordered to be stationed as

24

hai where I stayed to the end of March, 1922, to study Chinese affairs. From April, 1922, to August,

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session. ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin. 3 & L 5 TEIICHI SUZUKI, an accused, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese inter-7 preters as follows: 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEVIN: 10 Will you please state your name? 11 SUZUKI, Teiichi. A 12 Are you an accused in this case? 13 Yes. 14 MR. LEVIN: Will Captain Van Meter kindly 15 hand the witness defense document No. 2902? 16 (Whereupon, a document was handed 17 to the witness.) 18 Does your signature appear on that document? 19 Yes. 20 Are the contents contained therein true and 21 correct? Yes.

MR. LEVIN: I offer in evidence defense

23

24

25 document No. 2902, the testimony of SUZUKI, Teiichi,

on his own behalf.

ACTING PRESIDENT: It will be admitted in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2902 will receive exhibit No. 3605.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3605 and received in evidence.)

MR. LEVIN: I now read the evidence of

SUZUKI, Teiichi, exhibit 3605:

"I, SUZUKI, Teiichi, having first been duly sworn on oath, in accordance with the procedure followed in my country, depose as follows:

"PART I

"(1) I was born in Chiba Prefecture on
December 16, 1888. I graduated from the Military
Academy on May 28, 1909, and was attached to the 18th
Infantry Regiment (Toyohashi). In December, 1913,
I was ordered to enter the Army Staff College from
which I graduated on November 27, 1917. In 1918 I
became attached to the General Staff Office. In 1919
I was despatched to the Ministry of Finance to study
financial and economic practice for one year. In
October, 1920, I was ordered to be stationed at Shanghai where I stayed to the end of March, 1922, to
study Chinese affairs. From April, 1922, to August,

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1923, I was a member of the General Staff. From September, 1923, to March, 1926, I was ordered to be stationed at Peking as Assistant Military Attache to the Japanese Legation. In April, 1926, I was attached to the 48th Infantry Regiment (Kurume) and in August of the same year, I was appointed Battalion Chief of the same Regiment. In 1927, I was a member of the General Staff Office. In February, 1929, I was sent, as a student to England, returning in October, 1929. On December 10, 1929, I was again appointed as Assistant Military Attache to the Japanese Legation in Peking. In January, 1931, I became attached to the Bureau of Military Affairs in the War Ministry. In August, 1933, I was appointed Chief of the Intelligence Corps of the War Ministry. On March 5, 1934, I was appointed Chief Secretary of the Research Section of the Army Staff College and concurrently instructor in Military Science. In May, 1935, I held the office of investigator at the Cabinet Bureau of Investigation. On August 1, 1936, I was appointed Regional Commander of the 14th Infantry Regiment stationed at Tongning, Manchuria. In November, 1937, I was attached to the 16th Home Division, with Headquarters in Kyoto. In April, 1938, I was appointed Chief of Staff of the Third Army

23

24

Regiment stationed at Mutangian, Manchuria. On December 16, 1938, I was appointed Chief of the Political Section of the China Affairs Board. On April 4, 1941, I retired from military service having been placed on the reserve list and became Minister without Portfolio and concurrently President of the Planning Board in the Second KONOYE Cabinet, continued as such in the Third KONOYE Cabinet and the TOJO Cabinet until I resigned on October 8, 1943.

"(2) As I look back upon my past life I feel that five things have deeply influenced my career, my outlook on life, and my political ideas.

"First, my training as a professional military man has naturally made me mainly interested in the problems of national defense. It also caused me to entertain a high regard for the traditions of strict discipline of the Japanese Army, for the maintenance of which I used my best endeavors when signs of slackness were manifested within the Army during those turbulent years since 1931. It instilled into me a spirit of self-sacrifice. It taught me that in case my country was in danger I should sacrifice my all for the sake of my country.

"Second, my studies in financial and economic affairs at the Finance Ministry in 1919 aroused in me

6

7 8

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25 a lively interest in the practical problems of national economy. This interest was further intensified, during my service as a Cabinet investigator in 1935-6, by coming into personal contact with officials of various Ministries and with a number of coonomic experts. The above experience, of a non-military character, enlarged my mental vision and taught me, for instance, to look at problems of national defence, not in themselves alone, but in the texture of the entire national life. This broader view of national defence was especially helpful in doing my work as president of the Planning Board, the practical function of which, prior to December 1, 1941, lay not so much in making smooth the way for military preparations, as in moderating the demands of the armed services, so as to safeguard the national economic life, which was becoming more and more strained through the China Incident extending over four years.

"Third, my sojourn in England as a student during the year 1929, though not a long one, exerted a deep influence on my modes of thought. It taught me to look at my country objectively and from an international standpoint. It made me deeply conscious of the shortcomings, spiritual and material of our people as well as of our backwardness in natural science, and

saved me from that blind and narrow-minded patriotism which military education is apt to cultivate.

"Fourth, my frequent contact with China and the Chinese was no small factor for moulding my life and thought. My father was a student of Chinese classics and my home education was such to arouse my interest in things Chinese. Then my stay in Shanghai during October, 1920, to March, 1922, and my sojourn in Peking during September, 1923, to March, 1926, and during January, 1930, to January, 1931, as Assistant Military Attache to the Japanese Legation, afforded me ample opportunity to become intimately acquainted with the Chinese people and to cultivate friendships with many leaders in China. It enabled me to study political affairs in China at close range which made me sympathetic with the Chinese nationalist movement. In January, 1927, I was despatched as a liaison officer by War Minister UGAKI to meet General Chiang Kai-shek, then on his northern expedition. I had an interview with him at Kiukiang and learned from him his ideas and aspirations he cherished regarding the execution of the Chinese Revolution. Thereafter, my sympathy for the nationalist movement was all the more intensified. Most of my Chinese friends were thus of the Kuomintang Party, not military men of the old school. Again,

3

2

6

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

18

19

21

23

8 9

11 12

13

10

14 15

17

16

19

20

18

21 22

24

23

during 1931-1933, I was assigned, as part of my duties, the task of assisting the supervision and guidance of visiting Chinese military students. I taught the history of Chinese nationalism to the students of the Army Staff College, when I served as instructor in that institution.

All these experiences naturally deepened my understanding of and moulded my friendly attitude toward the Chinese people. They had cultivated my conviction that the aspirations of the Chinese people for the recovery of her national rights would be realized in due course of time and that Japan should assist and co-operate with the New China represented by the Nationalist Party. The course of events in the Sino-Japanese relations ran contrary to the direction I hoped for, but my views on China's destiny did not thereby suffer any change. I have read an affidavit by Mr. Hu Lin, defence document 197, obtained by my American counsel during his recent trip to China. I am glad to know that one of my Chinese friends understands me thoroughly, even after these deplorable years of military conflict between the two nations, though I feel ashamed to think and regard it as a tragedy that I was utterly helpless in checking the catastrophic course of Far Eastern politics."

At this time, may it please the Tribunal, I offer in evidence defense document No. 197, the affidavit of Mr. Hu Lin, which relates to the defendant's attitude toward China.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: If it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to a large portion of this document, 197.

The second paragraph on the first page, beginning with the words "I have known..." deal with matters which occurred in the year 1927 and are immaterial to any issue raised in this case. It is submitted that this paragraph has no probative value and should be excluded.

With regard to the third paragraph, which begins on the bottom of page one, the prosecution objects to the first and last sentence in that paragraph. Those are the sentences beginning with the words "At that time..." and "In those years..." And the objection is based upon the ground that it contains only the opinion of the deponent.

The prosecution objects to the last paragraph with the exception of the first six lines. That portion of the paragraph beginning with the words "From my information..." down to the end of the paragraph, sets out certain reasons of the deponent which prompted

3 4

him to form an opinion which is set out in that paragraph. It is submitted that this matter is objectionable and ought to be excluded from the record.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, I have no serious objection to the omission of the first sentence in paragraph three and the last sentence of that paragraph.

In relation to the objection pertaining to paragraph two, the first one that was made, that relates to 1927, of course is not tenable because that is merely a preliminary statement as to when the witness met SUZUKI. The Ta Kung Pao Press of Shanghai and other cities in China is the "New York Times" of China. Mr. Hu Lin who had a very excellent opportunity of knowing SUZUKI and knowing what he did, know the things he did, is able to state them. As a matter of fact, it supplements the statement made by Mr. SUZUKI in his affidavit on page 3, where he said that his acquaintance—ship in Japan afformed him opportunity to become intimately acquainted with the Chinese people and to cultivate friendships with many leaders in China.

It is indicated in the last sentence of paragraph 2 -- and in view of the fact that the Members of the Tribunal have the affidavit before them, I think
I may call direct attention to it -- that he sympathized

with the Kuomintang and advocated that Japan should
help bring forth cooperation between the Generalissimo
and Marshal Chang Hsueh-liang. The relations between
hina and Japan were a matter of great importance.

We have had evidence here that certain persons
belonged to military cliques. Here is a distinguished
publicist of China indicating -- who testifies in this
affidavit as to the attitude and views of Mr. SUZUKI
in relation to China and Japan. Except in relation
to the sentences which I have conceded, it seems to

I might add, also, that when I took this affidavit
we had had no specific ruling from the Court in relation
to opinion evidence. And while I do not concede that it
is opinion evidence, nevertheless, I am very certain
that had I known of that ruling at that time, I might
very well have worded this affidavit in such a way
that there would be no objection to its admissibility,
in any event.

ACTING PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection
22 is sustained as to the first sentence and the last
23 sentence of the paragraph starting at the bottom of page
24 one, and as to the next paragraph except the first six
25 lines.

With that exception the affidavit may be

30

and the

admitted in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 197 will receive exhibit No. 3606.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3606 and received in evidence.)

MR. LEVIN: I read the testimeny of Hu Lin, exhibit No. 3606, as admitted in evidence by the Tribunal.

"I, Hu Lin, do swear on my conscience, that the following is true:

"My name is Hu Lin, and I am a resident of Shanghai, China, and have been for upwards of fifty years. I read, write and understand the English language. I am presently one of the owners, general manager, publisher and one of the editors of the Ta Kung Pao Press, published at 212 Nanking Road, Shanghai, China. The Ta Kung Pao Press is published in Shanghai, Chungking and Tientsin, and has one of the largest circulations of any newspaper published in Shanghai. In 1945 I was a delegate to the San Francisco Conference representing China at this conference for the establishment of the United Nations' Organization; in 1943 I was a member of a Chinese Mission which was sent to Great Britain for the purpose of integrating the war effort.

4 5 6

1

2

3

7 8

9

10

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

"I have known and been personally acquainted with Lieutenant General SUZUKI, Teiichi, of Japan for upwards of almost twenty-five years. As a publisher I have been familiar with the relations of China and Japan for many years. When I was on my way to Hankow in 1927, I met General SUZUKI for the first time aboard a ship and we stayed in the same cabin. We talked a lot. At that time, my paper was published in North China which was then under the rule of warlords. Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek had just started his North Expedition and China had not yet been unified. I came to the South with the purpose to investigate the Kuomintang rule. When I met SUZUKI, I discovered him to be a scholar, though a soldier by profession. He knew much about political and economical problems. He sympathized with the Kuomintang and advocated that Japan should help bring forth cooperation between the Generalissimo and Marshal Chang Hsueh-liang.

"Then I met him once or twice in Peiping later on. In 1935 I went to Japan and called on him at his house. The Sino-Japanese relationship was getting worse by that time and he still advocated Sing-Japanese cooperation. After the outbreak of the Sino-Japanese War in 1937, my paper was evacuated to the interior and personal relations with my Japanese friends were cut

23 24

18

19

. 20

21

22

off. In those years -- " that is out.

"I knew that his father had had a sympathetic interest in China and had at one time taught Chinese to Japanese students. I knew too that General SUZUKI had trained and worked with Chinese students who came to Japan for military training. I have known too that during the war he was a president of the Planning Board and a member of the Cabinet without portfolio. From my information and knowledge of his career and from the fact --"

ACTING PRESIDENT: That is out.

MR. LEVIN: (Reading continued)

"This affidevit was prepared after consultation with me from information given by me, and thereafter I carefully examined and studied same and the facts made therein conform to the facts as I know them in relation to General SUZUKI, Teiichi.

"/S/ Hu Lin

"On the 12th day of December, 1946."

3

4

7

11

12

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

R e h r & K

I continue with SWZUKI's testimony, the last paragraph at the bottom of page 4:

"Lastly, not only was my view of Japanese politics, national and international, deeply influenced, but the last phase of my official career as a civilian administrator and statesman was determined by my frequent contacts with Prince KONOYE, Marquis KIDO, and Baron HARADA. Since my name is often mentioned in KIDO's 'iary, it might also be proper for me to elucidate here my relations with them.

"There were among my seniors in the army Marquis INOUE, Saburo. Marquis INOUE was never 13 my immediate superior officer in the army, but since as early as in 1919, when Marquis INOUE and I, then a lieutenant, were assigned at the Finance Ministry to study financial and economic affairs together, we became very friendly with each other. Marquis INOUE, therefore, often invited me to join him in golf tournaments or to assist at tea-ceremony held at his residence.

"Marquis INOUE was a man of noble birth and of sound moderate views, having been educated in 24 England. He was rather of a quiet disposition and 25 did not enjoy a wide circle of friends. He was,

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

17

however, on very intimate terms with Prince KONOYE, Fumimaro, Marquis KIDO, Koichi, whom he had known from his childhood and through them also with Baron HARADA, Kumao. Thus when I was invited by Marquis INOUE, naturally I had opportunities to meet KONOYE, KIDO, and HARADA, with whom I also became quite intimate. In the course of golf tournaments or dinner parties KIDO and HARADA often inquired of me regarding the internal conditions of the army. Since about July, 1931 especially, they seemed to be keenly interested to obtain information about the state of the army circles. Various rumors had then been afloat concerning what is generally known as the March Affair, and Prince SAIONJI, the Elder Statesman, and Count MAKINO, the Keeper of the Privy Seal, for whom HARADA and KIDO were secretaries respectively, were seriously concerned over the rumored sub-18 versive activities of army officers and directed their secretaries to gather information and study measures for keeping them under control. As I looked upon FARADA and KIDO as my close friends, I used to tell them frankly about what I knew.

"After that I told them from time to time about the state of affairs within the army. Through our informal frank and friendly chats about politics,

22

SUZUKI

3

5

6

8

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

23 24

25

22

I could also obtain much information regarding the inside movements of the political world. Prince KONOYE, Marquis KIDO, and Baron HARADA were ardent admirers of Prince SAIONJI's liberalism in politics and their political views exerted no small influence upon me.

"During 1936-1938, when my assignments were in Manchuria and at Kyoto, our intimate contacts were for a time suspended. However, since December 1938, when I was removed to Tokyo to assume my work at the China Affairs Board, our contacts were resumed. It was chiefly my friendship with Prince KONOYE and the latter's confidence in me by reason of my long association with him that made me give up my long military career in April 1941.

"(3) My views on international political affairs affecting Japan, a statement of which will be helpful in elucidating the nature of my behavior on various occasions, may be outlined as follows:

"As stated above, I felt much sympathy for the Chinese nationalist movement, and my Chinese friends were mainly personalities connected with it. Furthermore, my observations of and studies in Chinese political affairs came to convince me that with the gradual awakening of the Chinese people the recovery

2

3

4

6

of her national rights would be the natural course of development in China. So it was my basic conception of the Sino-Japanese relations that Japan should endeavor to bring about order and stability of East Asia by assisting and cooperating with the New China represented by the Nationalist Party, on terms of equality.

7 "Regarding the Soviet Union, I thought that the Russian people were perfectly free to live under any government they chose, though the dictatorial and 10 totalitarian form of government was not palatable to 11 me. However, I felt no small disquietude, especially over those activities of the Third International, then generally believed to have been conducted under the guidance of the Soviet Union, which aided and 16 abetted the subversive and revolutionary movements in East Asia, especially in Japan. Those aspects 18 of the Japanese Communist movement which advocated 19 the abolition of the Emperor-system by revolutionary methods and which discredited all religion through Marxian materialistic philosophy were especially abhorrent to me. On the other hand, for preventing the communistic revolution in Japan I considered 24 it essential that Japanese statesmen adopt measures 25 that the livelihood of the people be more adequately

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

guaranteed.

"I was well aware from my knowledge of history that war between major powers would bring great national disasters whatever the outcome of the war. I therefore believed that a war between Japan and the Soviet Union should be avoided by all means. I thought that the sine qua non for the maintenance of peace between the two countries were (1) that the Soviet Union cease to support the Third International in the Far Fast, and (2) that competition in armaments between the two nations be terminated. It was also my favorite theory that in order to liquidate the mutual distrust and feelings of menace it was not enough to conclude non-aggression treaties which might easily be scrapped but it was necessary for both nations to express sincerity in pacific intentions by adopting such practical measures as: (1) the withdrawal by Japan of troops stationed in Manchuria and Korea; and (2) the withdrawal of troops by the Soviet Union of troops east of Baikal. However, I had to recognize that my ideas could not, in view of the prevailing circumstances, such as the activities of the Third International, readily be realized, and that so long as the Soviet Union increased her armament in the Far East, Japan must also

•

19

18

20 21

22

24

23

make ample provision for any eventuality, although meticulous care must be employed by Japan to avoid conflict.

"Vis a vis Great Britain and the United
States, which I regarded as militarily one and inseparable, I was convinced that Japan should keep on
the most friendly terms with them and that she should
never make them our enemy unless indeed we are militarily attacked or placed under an immediate menace of
attack. This was, I thought, a matter of course
even looked at solely from the standpoint of our national defence, in view of the fact that a serious
potential danger lay in the North.

The Nazi conception of dictatorial and totalitarian government was not in harmony with my political views any more than that of the Soviet Union. I had a high regard for many excellent traits of the German people, but my political sympathy was not with the Hitlerite Germany. I was strongly opposed to the proposed conclusion of the Triple Alliance, and especially so as Germany was then at war with Great Britain, with which country we must keep on friendly terms. I was then only a Section Chief of the China Affairs Board and certainly not in a position officially to express any views on such

Matters. However, I told my private opinion about
August 1940 to my old friend Prince KONOYE when he
organized the Second KONOYE Cabinet, but then the
rough outlines of policy had almost been determined,
and it was not possible for me to move him. After I
became Minister without Portfolio in the KONOYE
Cabinet, I found that the Triple Alliance was one of
the serious obstacles to the conclusion of the American-Japanese negotiations.

"After the outbreak of the German-Soviet war, therefore, I presented my opinion to Prince KONOYE that it would be better to terminate the Triple Alliance by reason of the gross German perfidy toward its Fer Eastern Ally. The Premier told me that he would consult the Foreign, Army, and Navy Ministers about it, but my suggestion made to KONOYE was not realized through sturdy opposition shown by Foreign Minister MATSUOKA.

ment my status was that of a military officer until

I became a civilian by being appointed to the presidency of the Planning Board. However, the offices
of the Cabinet Investigator and the Chief of the
Political Section of the China Affairs Board were
civilian in character, though I did not forfeit my

military status by assuming them.

"During 1931 and the ensuing years when I served at the Bureau of Military Affairs in the War Ministry. I was much concerned over the subversive renovation: movements of young officers. My endeavor outside of my regular duties during that period was therefore directed toward the maintenance of discipline within the army. I tried to dissuade such young officers as came to see me, tendering my advice to my superior to keep vigilant eyes on and to provide proper guidance for them. I explained the condition in the army to KIDO and HARADA, secretaries to Count MAKINO and Prince SAIONJI respectively, with a desire that the situation be wisely dealt with under the guidance of these fersighted and experienced statesmen.

"(5) During my services in Menchuria, one in
1936-1937 as Regional Commander at Tongning and
another in 1938 as Chief of Staff of the Third Army
at Mutangiang, incidents on the Manchurian-Siberian
border were, so to speak, the order of the day. I
feered that those minor incidents might develop into
a major conflict between the two countries. So during
my service at Tongning I devised a plan of my own
to obviate them. I fixed an operational boundary-line

two to four kilometers inside the treaty boundaryline and ordered my men never to resort to force
unless the operational boundary-line was invaded.
So on the boundary with the defense of which I was
charged there was not a single instance of conflict.
In view of this success of my plan at that time,
when I became Chief of Staff of the Third Army I persuaded the Commander of the army, YAMADA, Otozo to
adopt the same plan. On the boundary with the defense
of which the Third Army was held responsible not a
single case of border incident took place during my
service.

"(6) The China Incident commenced in North China during my stay in Tongning. I deeply deplored such developments, but as a Pegional Commander in the remote corners of Pastern Manchuria. I could do nothing but watch the situation with great anxiety for the future.

of 1938 to occupy the post of Chief of the Political Section of the China Affairs Board, the broad outlines of our policy relative to the China Incident had already been decided upon by the High Command and the government. Many features of the above policy were diametrically opposed to my fundamental ideas on

1

4

7

6

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

China, and were of such nature as could not be approved by me in the light of my knowledge of Chinese affairs. As a Section Chief of the China Affairs Board, I had to execute the duties assigned to me within the framework of such a policy. However, I did my very best to deal with matters falling within my purview in a way which was most consonant with my convictions, so that the broader national policy itself might in due course of time so transform itself in practice as would be in harmony with my basic ideas. So I set about my task with the following principles as my personal guide: (1) cooperation between the Chinese and Japanese nations on terms of equality; (2) the security of the Chinese inhabitants in the wor-stricken areas; and (3) respect for the rights and interests of the Powers in Chine.

"Thus my efforts were so directed that the Chinese property under the control of the Enemy Property Custodian be returned to its respective owners, that interference of the Japanese in the political affairs of the Chinese regimes be minimized. I did my best also to have the freedom of navigation in the Yangtze River by the Powers be restored. However, these policies even if decided upon by the central authorities along the lines toward which I

endeavored was not speedily realized by reason of local conditions, especially of operational requirements. The establishment of new regimes in China was of course incompatible with my basic ideas regarding Chinese affairs, but it had been a fixed policy over which I had no control. I worked, however, on the hypotheses that such was but a temporary phenomenon in the course of military operations, which would cease to exist if the hostilities came to an end, and that the early termination of hostilities and the restoration of peace between the two countries was the one thing needful for restoring Chinese politics to run their natural course.

"(7) In April 1941 Prince KONOYE asked me to accept the post of the Presidency of the Planning Board, saying that the Cabinet had decided to make both the Minister for Commerce and Industry and the President of the Planning Board to resign. Personally I was then reluctant to leave my military career by accepting such an offer. But since I was told by my old friend Prince KONOYE that he as the Prime I inister would be rlaced in an awkward predicament in case I declined the offer, I finally gave my consent to the appointment.

11 "Thus, on April 4, 1941, when after having 12 been promoted to Lieutenant General, I retired from 13 military service and was appointed Minister Without 14 Portfolio and concurrently President of the Planning 15 Board. The precedent for conferring on the Planning 16 Board President, the status of Minister, had been set 17 from the time of my predecessor. Because of this 18 status I was privileged to attend cabinet meetings, but I understood that my primary function lay in the execution of the business of the Planning Board under the control of the Prime Minister, as provided in the Organization of the Planning Board.

"(9) The first task that confronted me upon my assumption of the presidency of the Planning Board was the drawing up of a commodity mobilization plan,

19

10

20 21

22

12

13

14

15

16

17

. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or plan for allocation of vital materials, for the fiscal year 1941. This plan had already been initiated some time earlier in the year before I assumed this office This plan was to have been completed by the end of March, and put in operation April 1st. But because of divergence of views among the various ministries concerned, no decision had been reached before I was appointed to the Planning Board. When I was appointed President of the Planning Board, Prime Minister KONOYE said to me: 'It may be quite natural that in view of the current international situation, demands made by the armed forces should become all the more insistent. However, the national economic life is now much strained after four years of the China Incident. I wish that you would perform your work with that in mind. ' I understood that I was especially chosen, not blindly to comply with the exhorbitant demands then made by the Army and the Navy, but to see that the allocation of vital commodities be made in such way as not to exhaust the very sources of the nation's economic power through over-concentration on the production of munitions and to secure the nation's cultural life as much as possible. Accordingly, I established an organ in the Planning Board concerned exclusively with the necessaries of life, and initiated a special plan for mobilizing such commodities,

apart from the general Commodities l'obilization Plan. And, I endeavored to adjust the demands of the various Ministries from this broader viewpoint.

"Then, from the end of June, I was confronted with two events of major importance. One of them was the German-Soviet War, rendering it is possible for Japan to obtain special steel, machine tools and other items which we had planned to import from Germany via Siberia. The other was the sending of Japanese troops to southern French Indo-China in July, which brought in its wake the economic blockade of Japan by America, Great Britain and other countries, cutting off our anticipated supply of scrap iron, petroleum, fertilizers, and other vital commodities. From this time on I was compelled, as a matter of my official business, to feel a special concern over the Japanese-American negotiation.

Imperial Presence Conference of July 2, 1941. But I remember having heard from Prime Minister KONOYE toward the end of June -- very likely June 30 -- that our troops might be despatched to southern French Indo-China. For fear lest such a move should lead to a situation aggravating Japanese-American relations and adding another obstacle to the formulation of our commodity mobilization plan, which was already baset with many difficulties, I

voiced my view that it would be a serious matter if 2 we should ever be subjected to an economic embargo. The Prime Minister said that the step was imperative in order to ward off an immediate danger of a war with the Soviet Union. Inasmuch as the step was not directed against America or Britain, Americans would understand if we fully explained our purpose. Unfortunately, what I had feared became a fact. Japan found herself economically isolated from America, Britain, the 10 Netherlands, and other Powers. We were obliged now to redraft our commodity mobilization plan in accordance with the new situation. Thus, our commodity mobilization plan, sanctioned by the Cabinet meeting on August 22, 1941, 13 was formulated so as to ensure self supply and self sufficiency as much as possible in respect of vital commodities, with a view to guaranteeing the security of national livelihood as well as to preserve the people's sense of security with regard to national defense.

"(10) However, while I thus tried to effect a compromise between the ministries and to draw up a commodity mobilization plan of a sort, I keenly realized that Japanese economy, which had depended for so many years on foreign trade, would be ruined if kept isolated for any extended period. Fo I asked the Prime Minister for the readjustment of the Japanese-American relations

24

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and recommended that steps be taken in such a way as would bring about the lifting of the embargo. The Prime Minister told me that his mind was made up to confer personally with the President of the United States and to arrive at a speedy settlement through direct negotiation. I earnestly hoped that the Prime Minister's determination would bear fruit, restoring Japanese-American relations to normal as soon as possible, Unhappily, the views of the Prime Minister proved unacceptable to America, while within Japan the opinion 10 was brought to the fore that as long as the United States refused to sell us the needed commodities we were compelled to take by force of arms the areas containing such resources. This, I conceived, put the Prime Minister in an extremely difficult position. 15 In August, 1941 I was ordered by Prime "(11) 16 17 Minister KONOYE to study three problems. The first 18 problem was: Can Japan provide herself with needed 19 materials without relying upon America and Britain? My 20 answer was 'No.' I stated that there was no possibility 21 of Japan's become self-sustaining. The second problem 22 was: Supposing that the severance of economic relations 23 was to continue, would Japan be able to stand it for its 24 duration? I reported that the severance of economic 25 relations would put Japanese economy in an extremely difficult condition, but as long as no further special

development occurred in Japanese-American relations, we could rely on our stockpiles, excepting petroleum and a few other items, so that we might escape a collapse for a year and a half, or possibly two years. I added that in the case of such commodities as petroleum, for which the manufacture of substitutes was possible, we might be more or less self-sustaining after some years, provided that capital and materials were permitted to be thrown into those channels. The third problem was: Supposing war broke out and we succeeded in taking the oil-producing areas of the Netherlands East Indies, would we then be able to obtain the needed petroleum? I reported that immediate acquisition of the petroleum would be impossible because military occupation would necessarily be attended with serious destruction.

"(12) About the end of August, 1941, when the effects of the economic severance became patent, Prime Minister KONOYE ordered me to attend the Liaison Conference. He did so that I might acquaint myself with the atmosphere of the Liaison Conference, which would be helpful in exacting my work connected with the administration of national economic power, and also to make replies to questions, if any, regarding economic problems. As I thought that the extent of my statement allowable at the Conference depended on my status, I asked the Prime

6

7

Minister regarding the nature of the Liaison Conference and my status therein. In accordance with an agreement relative to the Liaison Conference between the General Headquarters and the Government (exhibit 1103, page 10,171 of the record), the Prime Minister explained to me in the following tenor:

"(a) 'Persons who always attend the meetings of the Liaison Conference are the Prime Minister, the 9 Foreign Minister, the two Chiefs of Staff, and the War 10 and Navy Ministers. Regarding other Ministers, they will be asked by the Prime Pinister to attend when deemed necessary by the latter. When your presence is required; you will receive orders "rom me or you will be notified 13 thereof by the Chief Fecretary of the Cabinet. You are to attend the Conference as president of the Planning 16 Board, and I hope you will bear that in mind when you are asked to speak at the Conference. 17

"I interpreted these words of the Premier to mean that I was to attend the Conference as the Premier's technical assistant and that I must refrain from speaking except by order or with the consent of the Frime Minister.

"(b) 'Even if an understanting has been reached between the General Headquarters and the Government, the execution must be postponed until after the approval of the Cabinet has been obtained. If, however, any matter

23

18

19

20

21

4

7

8

9

11

10

13 14

12

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

is within the sole purview of a Finister present at the Conference, which can be executed under his own responsibility, I think he can forthwith carry it out without referring the matter to the Cabinet.

"I interpreted these words of the Premier to
mean that the Liaison Conference was not a policy-deciding
body existing over and above the Cabinet, but that it
was a meeting convened with a view to reaching an understanding through an exchange of views as between the
Government and the General Headquarters.

in the presence of Fis Majesty. I understood that the Imperial Presence Conference was a kind of Liaison Conference, and not in any way different in character from the latter, although weighty matters alone were brought before it. I understood also that my status thereat was exactly the same as at the Liaison Conference.

"(13) By order of the Prime Minister, I attended the Imperial Presence Conference of September 6, 1941. I presume that it was the intention of the Prime Minister to have me speak before the meeting in case there arose any occasion requiring elucidation on economic matters. But at the conference on that day I was not called on to speak. Moreover, I was a little relieved to know that the topic of discussion for the day really centered on

2

1

5

7

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

the continuation of the negotiation with America, war preparations having been considered only as a safeguard against a really remote danger.

"(14) After the september 6 Conference I was requested directly by the Navy Vice-Minister for an additional allocation of 300,000 tons of steel. At about the same time the Director of the Equipment Bureau of the War Ministry also asked me for more steel. I refused these requests. Both ministries, especially the Navy, repeated their demands, setting forth, howover, different figures each time for their requirements. The question was left pending until the war was actually decided upon.

invited the Ministers 24

to his private residence at Ogikube for a frame change of views. I was also present at this conference 25

n b е 25 Y

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330. MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

TEIICHI SUZUKI, an accused, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, I continue with the presentation of the evidence on behalf of SUZUKI. (Reading): "(15) Meanwhile October set in and I could see how hard pressed the Prime Minister was, with time running out. One day early in October he told me that he would like to withdraw from politics and become a monk. I said that it was too irresponsible of him to entertain such a notion. Then I told him that it might be advisable to have heart-to-heart talks with the War, Navy and Foreign Ministers. 22

"(16) On October 12 the Prime Minister invited the Ministers of War, Navy and Foreign Affairs to his private residence at Ogikube for a frank exchange of views. I was also present at this conference,

18 19

20

21

23

24

having been directed to make a record of its proceedings. On the question of withdrawal of the armed forces from China, there arose a sharp difference of views between the Premier and the War Minister, so that the meeting was adjourned without having reached any conclusion, all matters being reserved for further study.

"It became quite clear as the result of this conference where the thorny question lay. The Navy really thought that the war with America was impossible but did not desire openly to say so.

"The Army did not necessarily desire war, but vigorously objected to the withdrawal of troops from China. The Foreign Minister was firmly of the opinion that without consenting to the withdrawal of the armed forces from China, the negotiations with America offered no prospect of success. The only way for the Prime Minister to avoid war was, therefore, either to make the Navy formally declare its real intentions, or to make the Army understand the unexpressed intentions of the Navy and agree to the withdrawal of the armed forces. I saw that the Prime 24 Minister was in a predicament, because personally he 25 felt himself unequal to the task either of persuading the Navy or the Army.

18 19

12

14

16

17

20

21

"I thought that the predicament in whichthe Prime Minister was placed was due to the decision of the Imperial Presence Conference of September 6. After the conference, therefore, I asked the Prime Minister if it was not advisable, in the light of the discussions of the day, to request the Throne to wipe the September 6 decision off the slate and continue the negotiation with America. He replied that as that conference was in any case an informal one, such a proposition would be feasible, if he asked. He ordered me to talk over the matter thoroughly with the War Minister. So on the following day (October 13) I went to the War Minister and submitted the proposition. He sai, that to cancel the decision of the Imperial Presence Conference within the brief space of one month and to wipe it off the slate was a grave matter for which both the Government and the High Command had to assume responsibility, and he could not, therefore, agree to the proposition, which could be carried out only if and when the Government and the High Command leaders had been replaced. When I conveyed this view of the War Minister to the Premier, the latter said he would discuss the matter afresh with the former on the following day. On the evening of the same day I visited Marquis KIDO and stressed the necessity of

2

2

16 17

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19 20

21

23 24

requesting the Emperor to nullify the September 6

Imperial Presence Conference to enable the diplomatic negotiations to be continued.

"(17) Now at the cabinet meeting of October

14 the War Minister abruptly spoke declaring that he

14 the War Minister abruptly spoke declaring that he was opposed to the withdrawal of troops from China and that the negotiation with America should be broken off. This unexpected declaration of the War Minister so surprised the Prime Minister and all the members of the Cabinet that the meeting was adjourned without any one uttering a word. Late in the afternoon I was called up by the Prime Minister, who enjoined me as follows:

"'From the attitude of the War Minister, as revealed today, the negotiation with America is impossible. If that is impossible, the Cabinet can do nothing but resign en bloc, and as this resignation is due to the War Minister's attitude, I want you to go and sound him about his views on the disposition of the political situation after the resignation. I have to inform His Majesty concerning the post-resignation prospects.'

"Toward the evening I called on the War Minister at his official residence and conveyed him the Prime Minister's words, whereupon the War Minister spoke:

SUZUKI

3 4

"'I do not like to discuss in private a subject which is a matter of Imperial prerogative. But since you ask me, I will say this. I believe there is no one but His Imperial Highness Prince HIGASHIKUNI who can save the situation of today. I spoke about this to Marquis KIDO when we met the other day.'

"The reply of the War Minister was to me rather unexpected. For I had often heard that Prince HIGASHIKUNI had expressed his ardent desire for the success of Japanese-American negotiations and granted words of encouragement to the Prime Minister to do his level best for attaining the worthy object. Late in the evening I conveyed to Prince KONOYE the words of the War Minister. Greatly elated, the Prince said:

"That is splendid. His Highness is definitely opposed to war. He has time and again wished me success in our negotiations with America. I intend to speak to His Majesty to obtain Imperial approval. However, please communicate the views of TOJO and myself at once to KIDO, Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal.'

"(18) On the following morning (October 15) at about 9 o'clock, I called on Marquis KIDO at his private residence, and explained to him all that

5

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

occurred on the previous day. The Marquis said:

"It is not customary to install a member

of the Imperial family as the head of a cabinet. Then
there seems to be a group of men in the army who would
make their way into war under premiership of His
Highness. So I will think it over carefully.

"I reported to Prince KONOYE what Marquis KIDO said. Then, a little later the Marquis telephoned and I called on him again. He said:

"'Please go to the War Minister and ask if he can hold down the army's war faction if His Highness heads the Government and decides against war.

"I visited the War Minister and put to him Marquis KIDO's question. The Minister said:

decides on a no-war policy, and if it cannot check the Army, under whose premiership can it be checked?

But I myself can't say just now whether it can be checked or it cannot be checked.

"I transmitted to Marquis KIDO these words of the War Minister just as he has said them.

"(19) Early in the morning the next day, the 16th, I was called by Prince KONOYE and given the following errand. He said:

"'I had an audience with His Highness Prince

2

450

,

2 3 4

6

8

10

11 12

- 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

that, in the event the Imperial Command to form a cabinet should come to him, he would by all means accept it and use his endeavors toward the adjustment of our relations with America. The Prince said that it was a serious matter, on which he would like to consult with the War Minister and the Navy Minister, and he would like to have two or three days to think it over. It seems that His Highness was not necessarily disinclined to accept. Now I believe it advisable that he should be first informed of the nation's strength from the President of the Planning Board. So yr 2 will go now to His Highness and offer explanations as you see fit.'

"I immediately proceeded to the residence of the Prince, and explained to His Highness for about an hour about the actual state of our national strength, using the 1941 Commodity Mobilization Plan as the central theme. And I spoke on the need of a satisfactory settlement of the American negotiation.

"His Highness expressed his agreement to my remarks and said to me that war must be avoided by all means.

"After leaving the Prince's residence, I called on Marquis KIDO and made a detailed report to

him as to what had transpired since the previous day.

He expressed his fears that the assumption of premiership by a prince of the Imperial family might create
undesirable impressions both abroad and at home, that
there was nobody among His Majesty's subjects who
could shoulder the responsibility. However, he did
not positively say to me that he was opposed to the
formation of a cabinet by a prince of the Imperial
blood. In the afternoon the Prime Minister said to
me:

"'As regards the premiership of the Prince,
I have had word from the Lord Keeper of the Privy
Seal to the effect that it has been decided not to
request the Prince to head the Government. So the
matter is dropped. I suppose Marquis KIDO has some
idea. The Cabinet will resign en bloc at once.'

"Accordingly, I tendered also my letter of resignation.

"(20) On the following day, the 17th, I visited Prince KONOYE at his private residence to thank him for the kindness shown to me while I was in office and was engaged in a desultory chat, when the Prince said to me substantially as follows:

"'Marquis KIDO has telephoned me that TOJO is to form a cabinet. At the same time, he is to

receive word from His Majesty to carry on the
American negotiation, wiping the September 6 decision
off the slate. Accordingly, TOJO will, I believe,
check the war faction and proceed with the American
negotiation. So, you will do well to remain in
office and help him, in case he asks you to do so.
If the new cabinet compress many members of my
cabinet, it will show that the new cabinet desires
to carry on the negotiations for peaceful settlement
of the difficulties between Japan and the United
States.'

"When I was asked by Prime Minister TOJO
to continue in my office, I consented in conformity
to the foregoing advice of Prince KONOYE, seeing that
many of my colleagues in the KONOYE Cabinet also
remained in office.

"(21) My status in the TOJO Cabinet was the same as in the KONOYE Cabinet, viz., Minister without Portfolio and concurrently President of the Planning Board. However, there was considerable difference in practice. For since Prince KONOYE was my close friend of long standing, I volunteered my opinion to him on some political matters and the Prime Minister himself asked my opinion for his reference and indeed sometimes employed me to conduct certain political

megotiations. Premier TOJO, however, gave me a warning at the very beginning of my entry in his Cabinet to the following effect. 'It is my desire that you concentrate your energy on the work of economic mobilization and not to meddle in political affairs. You should especially keep in mind that the President of the Planning Board is to function under the control of the Prime Minister.' Therefore, I endeavored to comply with his wishes and devoted myself to the work of the Planning Board.

•

"(22) The attitude of General ToJo after he became Prime Minister was decidedly different from that assumed by him in the last days of the KONOYE Cabinet. In order to continue the diplomatic negotiation by wiping the September 6 decision off the slate, he decided to investigate various questions. In this investigation I was assigned, at the end of October, 1941, the following two questions, on which I reported to the Prime Minister the conclusions of the Planning Board arrived at after consultation and joint study with the Ministries concerned, vis., the War, Navy, Commerce and Industry, Agriculture and Forestry, and Communications Ministries.

"Question (A). If the American-Japanese negotiations should be broken off, and no prospect exists for the termination of the economic severance, to what extent may Japan maintain her supply of commodities?

"The report of the Planning Board was that the answer would depend on the descriptions of commodities, to wit:

*First, regarding commodities available in Japan proper, Manchuria and China, some increase would be feasible. In respect of steel, the 4,700,000 tons expected to be produced during the fiscal year 1941, might annually be increased by approximately 200,000 tons.

"Second, regarding commodities to be imported from Indo-China and Thailand, a decrease due to American and British interference must be expected. This had to be taken into account in view of the fact that in 1941 when Japan sought to purchase rice from Thailand, she was forestalled by previous British purchases. However, since the extent of such interference was unforseeable, the report did not contain the amount to be decreased.

from America, Great Britain and the Netherlands, especially the petroleum, the prospect was anything but bright. The annual domestic production of petroleum did not exceed 400,000 tons, while civilian consumption amounted annually to about 1,800,000 tons. The amount then stored by the Army and Navy, as was divulged to the Planning Board for the first time, did not exceed 8,400,000 tons, and the amount of petroleum stored in the civilian circles had been nearly exhausted then. If the petroleum stored by the military was to be employed for civilian purposes as well, it would be exhausted however economized within a space of three years. Apreover, a prospect for arti-

ficial petroleum production was by no means bright.

The construction of artificial petroleum factories
with a capacity for producing 5,000,000 tons annually,
which had been the amount of peacetime imports, would
involve a great quantity of materials and could not
speedily be realized. It could not certainly meet
the urgent needs.

"Question (B). If Japan should succeed in the event of war, in occupying the natural resources zones in the southern regions without serious damage, how far could self-supply be expected?

"As in the question (a) the answer of the Planning Board depended on the kinds of commodities, to wit:

"First, if war with America were to break out, requisitions would have to be effected of an enormous amount of bottom, and certain losses of ships must be taken into account. According to the estimates reported to the Planning Board by the ar and Navy Ministries, continuous employment of 3,000,000 tons of ocean-going vessels was feasible for transport between the raw material zones and the processing and productive areas. If, however, these vessels were to be not only for transport to and from manchuria and China, but also for that between the homeland and the

9.

- 15

Netherlands East Indies, it would necessitate strict economy in the carriage of goods, resulting in some diminution in commodities production. In regard to steel, the maintenance of an annual supply of 4,500,000 tons could hardly be expected. It might well diminish to the level of 4,300,000 tons.

"Second, imports from French Indo-China and Thailand and especially rice would be reduced considerably by reason of military operations. The rice shortage thereby created would have to be made good by substitutes such as sweet-potatoes. This might cause no small internal disquietude.

*Third, with regard to imports from the southern areas, especially the petroleum, the estimate could not be made by the Planning Board.

"Inasmuch as the petroleum was one of the strategic commodities, the petroleum questions apart from those relating to domestic production and civilian requirements were studied by the War and Navy Ministries not allowing any participation by the Planning Board nor by any other Ministry for that matter. This state of destruction or repairs of the oil fields installations again was closely related to the condition of military operations of which the Planning Board had no knowledge. Nor was the Planning Board aware of

the state of tankers or other means of transport. In regard to the petroleum, therefore, the Planning Board had to report, solely relying on the result of studies made by the Army and Navy, indicating that the report was exclusively based on the studies made by the Army and Navy. According to the above studies it was estimated that the petroleum obtainable in the first year would be about 300,000 tons and in the second year, approximately 2,000,000 tons, provided that the southern areas could be occupied after the outbreak of war.

Board suggested the fact that in case the JapaneseAmerican negotiation unfortunately failed, then war or no war, Japanese economy would be overwhelmed with difficulties. It suggested that our economic life would be impoverished to the extent that the minimum living standard itself might seriously be jeapordized. The Prime minister after ascertaining the views of the ministers responsible for the above report, namely, ministers of Commerce and Industry, Agriculture and Forestry and Communications, and taking also other reports into account persuaded the High Command and decided to endeavor to bring about the successful conclusion of the American-Japanese negotiations.

4

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2

and this policy was concretely mainfested in the decision of the Imperial Presence Conference of November 5, which decided to withdraw the armed forces from China to make the negotiations successful.

"(23) On the other hand, the Prime Minister and the Foreign Linister seemed to be unable to totally disregard the vigorous demands made by the High Command. The opinion of the High Command was substantially as follows: When we reviewed the developments of the American-Japanese negotiation, the demands made upon us by the United States seemed to increase in proportion to the concessions made by Japan. No prospect was, therefore, in sight of the negotiation coming to any successful conclusion. This indicated that what the United States really had in view was not the amicable settlement of the China Affair, but the destruction of Japan as a leading power. If, therefore, Japan should recognize in principle the withdrawal of the armed forces and also evince her readiness to enter into negotiations concerning the details for such withdrawal, and America should assume nevertheless an attitude of evading the mettlement, it might properly be concluded that the real intention of the United States was to gain time; and, that she intended, under the guise of continuing the negotiation

2

-

armed, drop negotiations and turn to an offensive against Japan. If Japan were destined not to be able to avoid war with America, the earlier it came, the more advantageous it would be for Japan. Therefore, the diplomatic negotiations should be concluded by the end of November and if no prospect of success should be in sight at the beginning of December, the decision for war should then be made. As one reason for this contention the High Command pointed to the concentration of the air forces in malaya and the Philippines, and the growing divergence in fighting power between the Allied forces and ours, and declared that after the lapse of several months the High Command could not be responsible for national defense.

"The Government, especially the Foreign
Minister was putting up a stiff fight against the
contentions of the High Command. The Liaison Conference of November 1 immediately preceding the
Imperial Presence Conference of November 5 continued
in deliberations far into the night and indeed until
2 a.m. of November 2. The High Command had not
relinquished its contentions until the very end. As
the result, the Imperial Presence Conference of
November 5 decided, on the one hand that diplomatic

-

negotiations without a moment's delay was the very

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

thing to be done, and then again at the beginning of December we could further continue diplomatic negotiation, if American attitude allowed it. For, the conditions for conducting the Japanese-American negotiations were more favorable for settlement than at the time of the KONOYE Cabinet, by the recognition of the withdrawal of troops which had so far been vigorously opposed by the Army. Loreover, the troops which advanced into Southern Indo-China, which was the cause of the economic blockade, were to be withdrawn. Further, a preliminary agreement to decide on war might, in view of the precedent of the decision of the September 6 Imperial Presence Conference, be rescinded. When I saw the above-mentioned agreement had been arrived at between the High Command and the Government, on November 5, I felt, therefore, not so much that war had become imminent, as that a new prospect of peace had arisen on our horizon.

17 18 19

21

20

22 23

24

Morse & Whale

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

"(24) However, this determination to continue the Japanese-American negotiation, reached after all kinds of twists and turns, was nullified by the American reply of November 26. I was given the general purport of the note at the Liaison Conference of November 27 or 28. I heard also the Foreign Minister explain that the said note was tantamount to an ultimatum. At the previous Liaison Conferences I had frequantly heard the representatives of the General Headquarters reporting on the strengthening of the British and American military preparations in the Pacific areas. Now being told that the American reply constituted virtually an ultimatum, I could not but sense that war with America might be unavoidable. Liaison Conference of that day was adjourned. As for myself, I decided to abide by the final decision to be arrived at by consultation between the Prime Minister (who was also the War Minister) Ministers of the Navy and Foreign Affairs, and the Chiefs of the Army and Navy General Staffs.

between the government and senior statesmen was held at the Imperial Palace. I was directed by the Prime Minister together with the Navy, Foreign and Finance Ministers to attend the conference and to answer such

17

20

23

22

25

questions as might be put to the government regarding national economic strength. I did not, of course, volunteer any explanation. However, one of the elder statesmen -- I think i' was Admiral OKADA -- asked a question concerning the shipbuilding capacity and the capacity for the production of airplanes in the event of Mr. To the former question I answered that the annual output would be between 700,000 and 800,000 tons. Regarding the latter question, I replied that materials were not available at the Planning Board since the matter fell within the sole purview of the military. Another question was also put by another senior statesman regarding the supply of steel, to which I made the reply that it would be between 4,300,000 and 4,500,000 tons. The main theme of the conference, however, was the circumstances in which Japanese-American negotiations came to a deadlock. Most of the time was, therefore, occupied with that subject and little time was left for me to enlarge on economic problems, nor did any senior statesman demand such elucidation.

"(26) The Prime Manister then seemed to have been finally determined to enter into war. At the Cabinet meeting on December 1, and before the Imperial Presence Conference on the same day, attended by all the Cabinet members, his conclusion to the following

25

.4.14 " ...

17 18

10

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21 22

effect was announced:

Netherlands is unavoidable. The Army and Navy will respectively turn to war operations. However, when we see a definite chance for success in negotiation, the war operations will immediately be suspended, and the government will turn to negotiation.

"I myself agreed to the above decision, believing that this was unavoidable as long as we had an American ultimatum.

ment decision, I was obliged to engage myself busily with the revision of the Commodity Mobilization Plan according to the requirements of the oncoming war. Although the preservation of the sense of security with regard to national defense was one of the objectives of the 1941 Material Mobilization Plan, it did not cover such a vast armament expansion as would be required for prosecuting a war with America and Britain. Economically Japan had not surely been prepared for any war with America and Britain prior to December 1, 1941. Quick readjustments to meet the new situation demanded titanic efforts on my part. The plan had to be so revised that the allocation of military goods needed for war would be ensured, curtaining civilian

needs to the minimum.

my energy on the performance of my duties, with haldly any time to spare to think of any other matter. Prior to December 1, 1941 I earnestly wished for and contributed towards the avoidance of the American-Japanese war. However, once the national decision was made for war, I considered it my moral duty as a Japanese subject to do my bit to collaborate in the prosecution of war.

7 that I was notified that there would be a cabinet meeting the next day (the 8th) at 7 o'clock in the morning. At about 6:30 a.m. on December 8th when I went to the Prime Minister's official residence to attend the cabinet meeting I was informed by the Chief Secretary of the Cabinet HOSHINO of the attack on Pearl Harbor and knew for the first time that the hostilities were commenced. At the cabinet meeting the Navy Minister gave a brief explanation of that operation, after which the Cabinet decided on the text of the Imperial Rescript declaring war.

"(29) After as before the outbreak of war, my main duties as the President of the Planning Board consisted in the preparation of an effective plan for

3 4 5

commodity mobilization with the concurrence of the Ministries concerned, without any authority to compel them and without any power of the execution thereof. However, after the commencement of war the necessity for a unified administration of national economic power was gradually increased and various ministries came to accede more easily to the opinion of the Planning Board, and especially after the end of 1943 the War and Navy Ministries themselves became less insistent in their respective demands. Thus the Planning Board, which functioned as a sort of conciliation board in the pre-war days, gradually came to command prestige with the progress of war. It did not cease to be a conciliation board, although the conciliators came to command more influence over the parties concerned. At the request of Premier TOJO, however, I left the cabinet as well as the post of the President of the Planning Board on October 8, 1943.

"PART II

"In Part I of my affidavit I have made a general statement of facts concerning my case. During its rebuttal of my motion to dismiss, and on other occasions, the prosecution referred to certain evidence allegedly tending to prove that I had participated in a criminal conspiracy as alleged in the Indictment. I

3 4

7

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

SUZUKI -

beg, therefore, to make further elucidation of facts and circumstances relative to each item of the prosecution's evidence.

"(30) Exhibit 1.79 (F), KIDO's Liary, August 7, 1931, page 1927, Exhibit 179 (0), KILO's Diary, October 7, 1931, page 1941 of the record (Illegal plans of young officers).

"Upon hearing about a plot, later called the March Affair, I reported the matter to Colonel NAGATA, 10 Tetsuzen, my superior, with whom I collaborated to check the plot from being materialized.

"The March Afford after all did not come to pass. However, after this rumor of a plot had become current, an agitation became palpable among young officers attached to the regiments. Some of them not only discussed politics before their seniors, but went as far as to advocate a national renovation by military force. I admonitioned them against such reckless behavior whenever they talked in such a tone in my presence. I also presented my views to my superiors to keep vigilant watch and to take care that junior officers might not be swayed by their youthful ardor to bring ruin on their own careers as well as cast reflections on the honor of the Army.

"This restlessness among young officers was

20 21

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not, I thought, without its causes. One of the causes was that there was an apparent deterioration in politicians' morals, corruption cases involving them having often been rumored. This naturally ired young officers. Another cause was that economic depression having then been at its height, the number of the unemployed was steadily increasing. Also, soldiers hailing from the country-side had been conscripted from families. in great financial distress. It seemed to me in a sense quite natural that commanders of sections or companies should come to have a deep sympathy for the state of their subordinates' families and to look forward to a government capable of saving the nation from such economic distress. Their advocacy of national renovation was due to these causes, I thought. In view of such circumstances I concluded that the military authorities were certainly in duty bound to exercise the strictest control over those subversive young officers with the view of maintaining military discipline, but this alone might have the deleterious effect of intensifying their unexpressed resentment, unless the statesmen in power were pure and above corruption, and unless more effective measures were adopted to deal with the agrarian problems and to solve the problem of unemployment.

DIKECT

"Thus, from the standpoint of maintaining army discipline I was much concerned over the state of domestic politics. I remember having said to Marquis KIDO and Baron HARADA to the effect that a young and able statesman, such as Prince KONOYE, who was above all repreaches of corruption, should become Premier and form a coalition cabinet comprising party men of known morel rectitude, and that this would help in no small measure in maintaining and strengthening military discipline. And also a study of the agrarian problems brought me to the conclusion that greater protection of tenant rights and lower farm rents were even more necessary than the stabilization of the prices of rice. However, what I had primarily in mind, and spoke to others at the time, was the ways and means by which deterioration of military discipline might effectively be prevented, which was then my chief concern.

"(31) Exhibit 2252, KILO's Diary, May 17, 1932, page 16,215 of Record (Re the succeeding cabinet).

"As I stated above, I presented my views to my superior officers that they should keep vigilant watch on young officers. On May 15, 1932, however, a deplorable incident occurred. A number of naval officers and several students of the Military Academy

3 4 5

7

9

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

23 24

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

23 24

25

22

in collaboration with civilian extremists assassinated Premiar INUKAI. The War Ministry circles received a serious shock by this news. Among my colleagues a sentiment of self-reproach was expressed for the fact that several candidates for army officers should have been involved in such a plot. They said military discipline must be maintained so as to check the reoccurrence of a similar incident. A desire was also expressed in this connection that the succeeding cabinet be not organized by the Seiyukai Party, then so generally condemned for its lack of moral integrity, and that instead a coalition cabinet be formed by upright men so as to facilitate the maintenance of military discipline.

"When I met Marquis KILO after the May 15 incident, I gave him such information about the incident as was available at the War Ministry and the views and desires then expressed by my colleagues at the Ministry. The passage in the Diery is, I suppose, a summary statement regarding our conversations at that time.

"(32) Exhibit 2253, KIDO's Diary, April 13, 1933, page 16,216 of Record (Describing Russia an absolute enemy, etc.)

"According to KIDO's Diary I am reported to

have said at a banquet at Marquis INOUE's residence

that Russia was an absolute enemy and that I was opposed

to the conclusion of a non-aggression treaty with her.

I do not well remember the occasion but possibly I

may have stated something to the effect that so long

as the Soviet Union aided and abetted the activities

of the Third International, which plotted to effect a

communistic revolution in Japan comprising the abolition

of the Emperor system, the USSR was our absolute enemy,

and that the conclusion of a non-aggression pact

against such background, would be illusory.

"(33) Exhibit 3371, page 31,835 of hecord,

"(33) Exhibit 3371, page 31,835 of Record,
sexhibit 670, page 7,330 (Re: My alleged speech at the
Toyama School in 1933)

"I recollect that some time in 1933 War Minister 15 ARAKI invited the governors to a luncheon party at the Toyama School to express his thanks for their services 17 rendered as advisers to the Soldiers Assistance Society, 19 charitable organization having for its object assist-20 ence to be given to the families of indigent soldiers. 21 I think General ARAKI made a brief speech before the 22 luncheon thanking guests for their endeavors in that cause. I have not the slightest recollection that I ever made any speech myself at that meeting. I remember, however, that in the ante-chamber several maps of

_

10 11

12 13

14 15

17 18

21

Manchuria were hung on the wall indicating, e.g., the state of bandit soldiers in Manchuria, and I also remember having explained the maps in answer to questions put by Count Kiyoura KEIGO, president of the society, and that he expressed his satisfaction with my explanations. I absolutely deny that I ever made at that meeting or elsewhere, any speech connoting the necessity of military occupation of Siberia. This allegation seems to me preposterous for such a bellicose idea is contrary to my concepts of national defense.

DIRECT

"(34) Exhibit 2266, KILO's Diary, Lecember 29, 1938, page 16,232 of Record (KONOYE Cabinet should carry on, etc.)

"During the period extending from August, 1936 to December, 1938 my official assignments were at Manchuria and Kyoto. At the end of 1938 I was appointed Chief of the Political Affairs Section of the China Affairs Board. Prince KONOYE was then Prime Minister, and I was to serve as one of his subordinates. Before hardly ten days had elapsed since my arrival in Tokyo, I was much disappointed to learn that the KONOYE Cabinet had made up its mind to resign en masse. For I thought that since the China Incident took place during the incumbency of Prince KONOYE, it was too irresponsible of him to leave his post as Premier

without the slightest prospect regarding its solution.

I, therefore, went to see my old friend Marquis KIDO
and told him that the KONOYE Cabinet should carry on
and do its level best to liquidate the China Incident.

"(35) 1939, Talks with Goette, page 3,780 of Record.

Amelican newspaper correspondents came to see me at my office in the Chine Affairs Board (not at the Planning Board). One of them asked my personal opinion about wang Ching-wei. Personally I did not favor the government policy vis-a-vis the China Incident, and I told him frankly that the policy of our government to deal with Wang was illusory, for Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek was the only real ruler in China. So I frankly said to him that hestilities must be terminated early by dealing with the Generalissimo. The above was my personal conviction at the time. I do not remember whether or not the newspaperman was named Mr. Goette.

"(36) Exhibit 1094, KIDO's Diery, June 23, 1941, page 10,024 of Record (Re: Unification and reinforcement of the General Headquarters).

"I was appointed President of the Planning Board in April, 1941, and was charged with the preparation of a Commidity Mobilization Plan. What troubled

me most in the performence of that duty was that the 1 army and Navy separately and without any consultation with each other demanded allotments of . modities, and that antagonism prevailing between them made the preparation of the Commedity Mobiliz, ten Plan impossible, Moreover, both the Army and Navy kept its own secrets not enly vis-a-vis the outside world but in relation to each other. There was much reason to doubt that two fasteries, of one and the same category, had been constructed separately, while one factory would surely have been enough, and that the manufacture of munitions of war or studies and researches for their improvement had been carried on without any connection with each other, thus involving no small waste of materials and labor. I was of the opinion that in order 16 to obviate this pelpable waste and to make maximum use of the materials which had been scanty in any event, it was imperative that the General Headquarters which had been divided into the water-light compartments in the shape of the Army and Navy Divisions be unified and a system be worked out under which the two could then collaborate under a unified command. I 22 told this to Marquis KIDO who probably recorded the conversation in his Diary.

"(37) Exhibit 1107, page 10,140, page 10,216, page 10,333, page 10,518 of record; exhibit 1152, KIDO's Diery, October 29, 1941, page 10,314 of record. (Re: Attendance at Liaison Conferences).

"The Prosecution alleges that I attended the Imporial Presence Conferences of July 2, Sptember 6, November 5, and December 1, 1941. I attended the last three conferences. With regard to the Imperial Presence Conference of July 2, 1941, however, I do not remember ever having attended it.

"I attended also many of the Liaison Conferences. However, there were conferences at which I did not assist.

"(38) Exhibit 649, page 7,069 of record; exhibit 650, page 7,074; exhibit 1241, page 10,690 of record; exhibit 1267, page 11,306 of record; (Re: Attendance at Trivy Council meeting).

"I attended these meetings in the capacity of an explaining member. An explaining member has no right to vote (exhibit 83, Organization of the Privy Council and Rules Article II, latter part). The functions of an explaining member are to make such necessary explanations as may be ordered by the Minister in charge. The Prime Minister directed me to attend these meetings probably expecting that elucidations might

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2.5

become necessary on matters falling under the jurisdiction of the Planning Board.

"(39) Exhibit 840, page 8,403 and page 8,476 of record; exhibit 1132, page 10,205; exhibit 1133, page 10,214 of record; exhibit 1140, page 10,228 of record.

(Re: Functions of the President of the Planning Board).

"The functions of the President of the Planning Board were provided for in the Organization of the Planning Board, (Exhibit 71). At the time of my assumption of office, there was little work to do in the way of drafting the outlines of laws and ordinances. My time was mostly devoted to the adjustment or conciliation of conflicting claims of the various ministries. I have already referred to the 1941 Commodity Mobilization Plan in Part I of this affidavit and MAYAMA, Kanji formerly one of my subordinates, testified concerning the matter, (page 18,358 of record). Inasmuch as the prosecution makes certain allegations in reliance on a newspaper account which I deem entirely inadequate, I propose to offer further comments in addition to what has already been stated in Part I.

"As stated above, the 1941 Commodity Hobilization Plans and the ancillary Mobilization Plans relative to transport and labor were prepared under the most exacting circumstances: the outbreak of the Russo-German

7 8

wa.

4

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

15

16 17

18

20

21

23

24

25

war, involving a stoppage of imports from Germany and the economic severace effected by America, Britain, and the Netherlands. By reason of these events, Japan was confronted with the most brain-racking problems concerning the readjustment of her domestic economy which was placed in the most difficult circumstances. Through stoppage of the imports of petroleum not only from America but also from the Netherlands, the petroleum for civilian use was exhausted. The crude oil burners, buses, trucks and motor-ships (which latter had played an important role in domestic marine transport in Japan) could not be employed, and they had to be replaced by railways and sailing boats to meet the needs of domestic transport. The embargo on scrap iron had also far-reaching effects. The manufacture of steel had to be effected by the use of iron ores, which entailed the consumption of a large quantity of coal. Moreover, persons engaged in industries for the manufacture of export goods lost their jobs, which created serious problems for finding work for the unemployed. Problems after problems came to the fore, too numerous to be enumerated here. If the plain fact of the situation had bluntly been made public that the economic difficulties confronting Japan resulted from the economic blackade imposed by America, Britain and the Netherlands,

and that Japanese economic life was on the eve of bankrupcy, it would have inflamed an anti-American national
sentiment so as to seriously impede our negotiation
with the U.S.A. We, therefore, made use of such phrases
as the 'strengthening of national defense power,' 'wartime structure,' etc., to direct the endeavors of the
nation toward increased production and to make the
people be resigned for the time being to the strained
state of national economy.

"It is true that the Commodity Mobilization
Plan of 1941 somewhat increased allotments for munitions
of war in view of the international tension then prevailing as well as of the China Incident, but they were
by no means such as would make a war with America and
Britain feasible.

"It may be noticed that although various economic plans were styled 'Mobilization' plans, a grandiose term apparently connoting military preparations, they were, in fact, plans made for the allocation of commodities, labor and transportation with an eye to readjustments in domestic economy, out of the supplies allocated to civilian use after deducting what had been set aside for the Army and the Navy. The making of plans regarding the uses of the supplies allocated to the Army and the Navy, was, of course, outside the purview

5. .

of the Planning Board, which was not informed of such uses. It may also be noted that aconomic mobilization plans were not prepared by the Planning Board in an autonomous way. Various ministries presented their respective demends for allocations to the Planning Board, and in case the total of such demands exceeded the amount of supply for the current year, the Planning Board rendered its good offices by negotiating with various ministries so that, through mutual concessions and compromises, the total amount demanded by the ministries be curtailed to the amount capable of supply. The Planning Board functioned thus as a sort of conciliation board. It was not invested with any power to make an order binding on the ministries. So long, therefore, as no compromise was arrived at between the ministries, no economic plan could come into being. Nor was the Flanning Board invested with any authority to execute the plans so prepared.

"It is for from the truth to allege that as President of the Planning Board I had an all-important authority and influence on Japanese economy, (page 16,980 of record). The allegation that the Key Industrial bodies Ordinance was prepared during my tenure of office is not true. As a matter of feet this Ordinance had been deliberated upon and the outlines thereof had been

19

10

11

12

13

14

15

16.

17

18

20

21 22

formulated prior to my assumption of office (exhibit 2225, page 15,963 of record). At the time I assumed office, the Planning Board had nothing to do with its preparation, the draft outlines of the Ordinance having already been in the hands of the Legislative Bureau.

President of the Planning Board controlled Japanese economy together with the presidents of control associtions (page 8,403 of record) is certainly an error.

The Planning Board had no direct connection with any control association. The organization and operations of control associations were in charge of the ministries concerned and not of the Planning Board.

rit is an error and a misunderstanding for the prosecution to imply in reliance on Mr. Liebert's testimony (page 8,476 of record) that I was responsible for the organization of the Imperial Petroleum Company (page 16,931 of record). Not only were matters relative to that company outside the purview of the Planning Board but the law regarding the formation of the Imperial Petroleum Company had already been promulgated before I assumed office, viz., as early as in March 1941, in pursuance of which the said company was organizated in September as a matter of course over which I had no control. Mr. Liebert himself in another connection

.

correctly states the date on which the law was promulgated, (page 8,290 of record).

"(40) Exhibit 1142, page 10,231 of record, .

KIDO's Diary, September 29, 1941 (Re: My explanations made in the Imperial Presence concerning rubber and tin resources in the U.S.A.).

"On September 29, 1941 I received a telephone message from Chief Secretary MATSUDAIRA by which I was requested by Marquis KIDO to proceed to the Imperial Palace and explain to His Majesty concerning the rubber and tin resources in the U.S.A.

"The tenor of my explanations was, that even if as a result of war the U.S.A. should be prevented from importing rubber and tin from the southern areas, it would not imply any vital blow to her, for they might be replaced by imports from South America. I also said that rubber could be artificially manufactured and that large scale production of artificial rubber would be feasible in the U.S.A.

"(41) Exhibit 2280, page 16,253, KIDO's Diery, October 1, 1941, (Informal Talks concerning our Policy toward the U.S.A.).

"By October, 1941, the far-reaching effects of the economic severance were beginning to manifest themselves. A section of the Army circles which had

4 5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

24

minimized them became conscious of the grave situation brought about by our economic isolation. The opinion came more and more to the fore that to wait for any successful negotiation with America would be to wait for the day of our economic starvation, and that we should find a way out of the predicament by taking an offensive prior to the consummation of American wer preparations. On the other hand, Fime Minister KONOYE seemed to have felt himself quite helpless. I called on Marquis KIDO and conversed with him on the desirability of encouraging the Prime Minister to redouble his efforts to bring the American-Japanese negotiation to a success.

"(42) Exhibit 1148, page 10,250 of record; exhibit 1147, page 10246 of record; exhibit 2250, page 16,199 of record (circumstances in the clesing days of the KONOYE Cabinet).

"At the time of the dissolution of the KONOYE Cabinet, I played the part of a messenger between KONOYE and TOJO, KONOYE and KIDO, and KIDO and TOJO. In this lisison work I acted strictly as messenger and the words of these three men then conveyed to me are still fresh in my memory.

"Therefore, it is submitted that of the evidence produced by the prosecution those passages which

are in conflict with what I stated minutely and with meticulous care in Part I of my affidavit do not conform to the actual facts.

4

"(43) Exhibit 1331, page 11,943 of record; exhibit 1332, page 11,947 of record (The Sixth Committee and Outline of Economic Counter-plans for the Southern Areas).

7

9 10 11

12 13

14

15

17

19

21 22

23 24

25

"In the last days of November 1941, the Government was inclined to the opinion that the opening of hostilities for national self-defense against /merica, Britain and the Netherlands might be unavoidable in view of the surrounding circumstances, and they came to make studies to provide for such event. In order to supplement materials necessary for carrying on the said war, the Cabinet meeting of November 28 decided to organize as committee consisting of the officials of the Planning Board, and of the Foreign, Finance, War and Navy Ministries to work out plans for the development, acquisition, and control of resources in the southern ereas which might possibly be occupied. On I ecember 2, when the opening of hostilities had been decided upon, the Prime Minister ordered me to act as chairman of the said committee and to execute its functions in collaboration with the members representing the above-mentioned ministries. The instructions then given by the Prime

35,245

Minister regarding the work of the committee were in outline as follows:

K p S

"The thing to be most feared after the outbreak of war with America, Britain and the Netherlands is that dubious and irresponsible enterprisers proceed to the southern areas in a disorderly manner, taking advantage of the military expedition. They are liable to come into conflict with the local population and to disturb the local economy. They are apt, moreover, to waste our funds and materials which are already deficient. Adequate measures should therefore be devised for developing the southern regions so that such evils might not occur.

"The outline of policy regarding the southern areas prepared by the sixth committee were in the nature of wartime measures so formulated as to realize the Prime Minister's instructions.

"(44) Exhibit 1240, page 10,685 of record (signing the Imperial Rescript declaring war.)

"I signed the Imperial Rescript declaring war. War was certainly not what I desired, but I thought that the opening of hostilities was unavoidable for defensive purposes so long as an ultimatum had already been delivered to us and we were placed in a situation liable to be militarily attacked at any moment.

"(45) Exhibit 1271, page 11,342 (Re: Explanations at a Budget Committee of the House of

21

10

12

13

16

17

18

19

22 23

24

3

6

8

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

> 19 20

21

22 23

24

25

Representatives and in reply to an interpellation of Representative SAKURAI, Hyogoro, made explanations as mentioned by the prosecution.

"(46) Exhibit 1971A, page 14,505, (Re: Treatment of Prisoners of War.)

"The prosecution seems to accuse me of having collaborated with the employment of prisoners of war for military purposes, in reliance on a document called the Foreign Affairs Monthly Report which had been prepared and circulated without any knowledge of the Planning Board. If so, it certainly would be a false accusation. For the Planning Board had not the slightest authority concerning the treatment of prisoners of war. It is unimaginable that the Planning Board should, even without my knowledge, have held a conference under its auspices or to have participated in any way in the formulation of policies thereon.

"(47) Exhibit 687, page 12,070 of record (Re: Deliberations on the Establishment of the Greater East Asia Ministry.)

"The prosecution alleges that I played an important role at the conference of the Privy Council deliberating on the Organization of the Greater East Asia Ministry. As a matter of fact I attended the conference as an explaining member to clarify the

position of the government regarding the government measure.

"(48) Exhibit 1272, page 11,353 of record (Ott's telegram, concerning German decoration.)

"About the end of September, 1942, I received an invitation from the German Embassy to attend a ceremony conferring decorations, I had no interest in any decoration to be conferred on me by the German Government, for I had entertain an antipathy to Nazi ideology. I notified the Embassy declining to accept the invitation (exhibit 2247, page 16,180 of record.) Indeed, I must confess that I listened with a sardonic smile when at this Tribunal Ott's telegram was read. After the outbreak of the Russo-German War, I advised the Premier that since Germany attacked the Soviet Union without giving any notice to Japan and that immediately after Japan's conclusion of a nonaggression pact with the Soviet Union Japan should recede from the Triple Alliance. I do not know whether or not A bassador Ott had heard of this from someone and concluded that 'my attitude toward Germany was ambiguous! (as stated in the telegram). Ott's report concerning me in exhibit 1272 contains a number of inaccuracies. I never knew that I was called Vice-Premier of the TOJO Cabinet. The order

3

1

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

18 19

20 21

22

23

of rank in the Japanese Cabinet at official events
was a sheer matter to be determined by court precedence,
and not indicative of any political significance as
seems to be implied in Ambassador Ott's statement.

Apart from my official relationship there was no
special personal intimacy between General TOJO and
myself such as certainly existed between Prince KONOYE
and myself.

"The aforesaid decoration was later sent to my residence, and as it would have been awkward to return it, I just kept it.

"(49) Re: Total War Institute (exhibit 3372, page 32,008 of record.)

"I understood at the time that the Institute was a place where academic studies were rade and persons who would be needed in time of war were educated. I was appointed counselor to the Institute, but it was a nominal post ex officio accorded to me as Chief of the Political Section of the China Affairs Board. "hile I was counselor I never visited the Institute and the Institute did not send me nor did I ever see any document embodying the results of the Institute studies.

"I remember that I attended either the entrance or graduation coremony with other ministers of state at the invitation of the principal of the

1

3

21

19

12

14

15

16

17

22

24

2

OF.

,

Institute. However, in December 1943, I ceased to be a minister, having resigned from the TOJO Cabinet in October 1943, and moreover, was then traveling in Kyushu for about a month. Therefore, the statement by the witness MURAKAMI that I was present at a ceremony in December must surely be due to an error in his memory.

"(50) Exhibit 126, (Re: Decorations.)

"I was conferred the Third-Class Order of the Middle Cordon of the Rusing Sun in recognition of my services in the Manchurian Incident. And I was conferred the Second-Class Order of the Double Rays of the Rising Sun in recognition of my services in the China Incident.

"However, the former was, so far as I know, conferred on all colonels in active service without any special merit. The latter was conferred on all majors in active service also without any special merit. It may also be noted that at the time of the conferment of the two decorations, I had already been conferred decorations of the third and second class respectively of other descriptions.

"At Tokyo, Japan, on this 24th day of November, 1947." Signed "SUZUKI, Teiichi."

5

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

0.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

. 24

25

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, I should like to ask the witness one question.

DIRECT

Q Mr. SUZUKI, will you please state whether or not protests from foreign governments as to treatment of prisoners of war were ever presented at any cabinet meeting?

A No.

MR. LEVIN: I believe some defense counsel wish to ask some questions.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: On behalf of the defendant KIDO, if the Tribunal please, I would like to ask a few questions on direct.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. LOGAN:

Q Do you recall the Ogikubo Conference that was held at Prince KONOYE's home on October 12, 1941?

A Yes, I do.

You state in your affidavit that you made a report of what transpired at that meeting. Is that correct?

A Yes.

O What did you do with the minutes of the meeting?

A I took them down in a small notebook, and

there?

immediately after taking them down I handed them to Prince KONOYE.

What did he do with them?

That I do not know. A

Was the Secretary of the cabinet, TOMITA,

7

No, he was not. A

Wasn't he at Prince KONOYE's home but in another room and did not attend the conference?

10

I don't know whether he was there or not.

11

You have heard the reading of KIDO's diary from his affidavit for the date of October 12, 1941,

12

have you not?

14

I did hear it, but I don't recall exactly at the present moment.

15 16

17

18

And do you remember that KIDO records in there that TOMITA, Chief Secretary of the cabinet, visited him and gave him a report of what transpired at that

19

I a'd. A

meeting on that day?

22

20

And is the report of that meeting as set forth in the diary entry of October 12, 1941, correct?

23 24

Generally, the entry for that date is correct as far as it concerns the Ogikubo Conference, but I should like to say here that the memo -- the notes which

I took on that meeting did not cover any decisions which were reached by the War, Navy, Foreign, and Prime Ministers. We ministers talked -- discussed the various problems among ourselves, but we were not able to come to any decision on them.

THE MONITOR: Slight correction: "There was no decision reached at that meeting. Therefore there is no memo concerning any decisions."

The rest is correct.

O The last sentence of the diary entry says that the Foreign Minister should study the above to find out whether it is possible or not, that is, after reciting the advice and suggestions which had been made at the meeting, and what they agreed upon.

In view of that you don't contend that Marquis KIDO is setting forth that there was a definite agreement made at the meeting, are you?

A I don't know what TOMITA may have told Marquis KIDO, but the notes which I took at the meeting did not indicate that we had reached any final decision at all. The last thing I remember about that meeting is that the Navy Minister said, "Then I should like to have it decided that the negotiations are to be continued."

ACTING PRESIDENT: "e will recess for fifteen

minutes.

3.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

BY MR. LOGAN (Continued):

In any event, is it not a fact that the matters that were discussed at the conference were left to the Foreign Minister to give them further study?

Yes.

MR. LOGAN: Thank you.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, I don't believe there are any other defense counsel who desir to 16 examine, and the prosecution may now cross-examine.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

19 BY BRIGADIER NOLAN:

General SUZUKI, in your affidavit, exhibit 3605, on page 2, you state, in effect, that by reason of your long residences in China and by reason of your interview with General Chiang Kai-shek in 1927 you were sympathetic with the Chinese nationalist movement, and on page 4 of your affidavit, you state that it was your

12

13

14

17 18

20

conviction that Japan should assist and co-operate with the new China represented by the nationalist party.

Would you say that the Army, the War Ministry, and the General Staff were sympathetic to that nationalistic movement?

A One could not say positively that all were so disposed.

Q But, one might say positively that some were not so disposed?

A One could.

Q Now, in the years 1932 and '33, you were attached to the Bureau of Military Affairs. What were your particular duties, General SUZUKI?

A My duties were, first, liaison matters in regard to military matters concerning China; second, matters relating to Japanese military advisers and military instructors sent to China; third, matters relating to military students of the Chinese Government sent to study in the Japanese Army. Those were my main duties.

And, did your duties bring you to make a study of the Manchurian problem and the China Incident in relation to China?

A Yes.

3

1

23

21

22

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

~

SUZUKI

And, did you go to Shanghai in 1932?

CROSS

A Yes, I did.

3

Why did you go?

4

A The Manchurian Incident had just broken out and at the same time anti-Japanese feeling -- the anti-Japanese movement in China proper had been intens-

7

ified. I was sent to Shanghai to investigate the Manchurian Incident and conditions in China proper.

8

And, did you go again to North China in May

10

of 1933 after the Tang-Ku Truce was signed?

11

A Yes.

12

Q And, did you meet Hwang Fu and other Chinese leaders in North China?

13 14

A Yes.

15

Q And, after your return, did you make a report to the War Ministry?

17

A Yes.

18 19

Now, tell me, at that time was the Army considering the revision of the policies towards China as a result of the signing of the Tang-Ku Truce?

21

20

A I have no exact recollection.

22

Q Do you remember taking partiin any discussions concerning a revision of policy towards China?

25

A No.

25

With your intimate knowledge of affairs in

China, how do you explain the fact that you were not consulted about this matter?

If such a thing had actually occurred, I may have been consulted, but I have no recollection of the matter of which you speak occurring.

Q Do you remember a question of the policy toward China arising in July of 1933 after your return from North China?

11

12

13

I have no exact recollection on that point, but as a result of the Manchurian Incident, the Central Army authorities were apprehensive lest the military movement, then taking place, should extend down into North China. I remember that my superiors were racking their heads as to how to prevent this from happening.

14 15

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May the witness be shown IPS document 3147 please?

16 17

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

18 19

And, will you please direct your attention to item C of that document. I think it is marked, General SUZUKI, in the book.

21 22

(Whereupon, the witness examined the document.)

23 24

> THE MONITOR: Brigadier Nolan, our copy has no A-B-C's on it. It has 1-2-3. Could that be the

ueu.

reason that the witness is confused?

BRIGADIER NOLAN: It could be "3" instead of

It is No. Hei.

THE MONITOR: Yes, No. Hei, and No. 3 is on the next page, is it?

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Yes. Have you found it? THE MONITOR: Yes, we found it.

(Whereupon, the monitor spoke to the witness in Japanese.)

ACTING PRESIDENT: Have your assistant point it out to him, Brigadier. BY BRIGADIER NOLAN (Continued):

Have you found it, General SUZUKI? Have you found the document?

A Yes, I have.

Have you seen that document before?

I have no recollection.

Well, now, will you look on it and see what is written in handwriting on the front of it.

(Reading) "No. Hei. Document received from Lieutenant Colonel SUZUKI on 12 July 1933, with the note that as the War Ministry and the Army General Staffs have unanimously agreed on the following gist of the policy, it is desired that the Government will decide its policies along the lines of this document."

2

5 6

4

10 11

12

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

9

19 20

21 22

23

**	35,259
Q	Did you hand that document to MORISHIMA?
A	I do not remember.
Q	Do you remember seeing that document before?
A	May I have a few minutes in which to look
through	it?
Q	Certainly.
	(The witness examined the document.)
A	On looking through this policy, I cannot
remember	anything about it.
ó	Who was MORISHIMA?
A	There was a section chief in the Asiatic Affairs
Bureau of	the Foreign Office by the name of MORISHIMA.
Q	I want you to tell me to whom, on the 12th of
July, 193	3, you delivered or caused to be delivered
that docu	ment in your hands to MORISHIMA.
A	I have no clear recollection.
ó	Will you look at the handwriting on it which
says "Do	cument received from Lt. Col. SUZUKI on 12th
July 1933	and see if that refreshes your recollection?
' A 1	At the time documents were exchanged frequently
etween ou	ar office and the Foreign Office and this
ocument m	may have been one of them, but I have no
ecollecti	on of the document itself. I cannot say
nything e	xact about it.

Why would MORISHIMA say that he had received

it from you, if you had not given it or caused it to be given to him?

3

2

A Well, that is a matter of MORISHIMA's recollection. If it was so, it may have been me that sent it to him.

Well, will you admit that it was you who sent it to him?

8

I cannot testify here with assuredness that I did send such a document.

10

Well, testify to the best of your ability as to whether or not you did send it.

11

I may have sent it, but my recollection is not sure.

12 13

14

15

16

17

BRIGADIER NOLAN: I am going to offer this document in evidence, Mr. President, in view of the answer of the witness that he may have sent it, but that his recollection is not sure, on the ground that he does not deny what is contained on the face of the document,

18

19 namely, that it was received from him,

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. LEVIN: We object to its admission, if the Tribunal please, on the ground that the witness has not identified it as his own, he has no clear recollection of it and he states very truthfully the only thing that he can say is that there is some possibility that the thing might have been handed to him, but he does not identify

3 4

1

6

8

10 11

12

15 14

15 16

17 18

19

21

24

25

23

it sufficiently to permit its admissibility in evidence.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: To conform with the ordinary procedure, Mr. President, I should offer for identification only the bound file of the Foreign Office entitled "China Policy Reference Material, Volume I, August 1932 to September 1933"; that for identification only.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Pamphlet entitled "Chine Policy Reference Material," compiled on September 1933, will receive exhibit No. 3607 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3607 for identification.)

BRIGADIER NOLAN: And I offer in evidence the item from that book, "No. Hei"."

MR. LEVIN: Our objection goes to the offer of the excerpt 3147-C, "No. Hei", and I might add, if the Tribunal please, that I think the evidence disclosed that there was another SUZUKI on the General Staff at or about that time. I cannot identify him at this moment.

ACTING PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled. The document will be admitted.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3147-C

will receive exhibit No. 3607-A.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

15

15

17

19

21 22

23

24

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3607-A and received in evidence.)

BRIGADIER NOLAN: I will read only the marked portions of exhibit 3607-A:

"/The Cover/

"No. Hei. Matters decided upon as the opinion of the Army in the beginning of July 1933.

"/Handwritings on the first page/

"Top Secret /stamp/ /Reference/

"No. Hei. Document received from Lt. Col.
SUZUKI on 12th July 1933, with the note that as the
War Ministry and the Army General Staffs have unanimously
agreed on the following gist of the policy, it is
desired that the Government will decide its policies
along the lines of this document. MORISHIMA /signed/
6 July 1933."

Lower on that page:

"The Gist of Measures

"2. We must make the North China Regime suppress the National Party's anti-Japanese activities in North China and make the party gradually reduce itself until its final dissolution."

And paragraphs 11 and 12:

4 5

"We should make every possible effort to prevent the foreign powers, especially U.S.A., Britain and the U.S.S.R. from expanding their influence over China and from giving support to the government officials the impoverished economic conditions of those foreign powers and make them understand their ambitions over China and their untrustworthiness.

ment up to now based on the platform of the National
Party have been permanently and fundamentally contradictory to the Empire's policies. We, therefore, should
proclaim to the world that the Imperial Government as
well as its people shall be hostile to the Nanking
Government as long as it does not alter its past attitude
towards Japan, but that we are willing to shake hands
with China and her people under justifiable and fair
conditions at the earliest possible date."

Now, General SUZUKI--

CROSS

MR. LEVIN: May it please the Tribunal, 2 at this time I ask that this evidence be disregarded, in view of the fact that we cannot make a motion that it be stricken, on the ground that it appears from the document, itself, that this is a decision of the Army General Staffs; that is, "the Army General Staffs have unanimously agreed on the following ... " and that it does not appear that this is the statement of SUZUKI, himself. This is the only form in which I can make the objection because I have no opportunity of examining the document practically before it is read. All I could do is glance at it.

ACTING PRESIDENT: It says "the War Ministry and the Army General Staffs have agreed ... "

By a majority, the motion is denied.

General SUZUKI, reverting, for the moment, to exhibit 3607-A, would you say that that document expressed your views towards China and the Nationalist Government?

No, they don't.

And when you were talking to Mr. Hu Lin, as is set out in exhibit 3603, you were giving him your private opinion, were you, and not that of your. superiors regarding the cooperation which should exist

20 21

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22 23

between Japan and General Chiang Kai-shek?

CROSS

A It was my own private opinion expressed during the course of a private conversation.

Q Why didn't you tell him what the policy of the War Ministry and the General Staff really was?

A According to my recollection, the policy of the army towards China proper -- both before the Manchurian Incident and after its outbreak -- was a desire for the establishment of satisfactory relations.

Q And would they do that by making the National Party gradually reduce itself until its final dissolution?

A I never thought along those lines.

Q But you misled Mr. Hu Lin as to the real intentions of your superiors, did you not?

A I didn't say anything that was wrong.

Q But you neglected to tell him what was right?

A No.

Q What was the answer?

A No.

Now, if you will direct your attention, please, to page 9 of the English copy of your affidavit, paragraph 6, I observe you say that you deeply deplored the China Incident, and that in December of 1938 you accepted the post of Chief of the Political

5

1

2

3

7

9

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

V.

.

Section of the China Affairs Board? A Yes. The China Affairs Board, itself, was established in that same month of December, 1938? Yes. Q And you were the first head of the Political Section? A Yes. And you were chiefly responsible for the organization of the board, were you not? 10 was not one of the chief persons respon-11 sible for the establishment of that board. 13 Well, you were one of the organizers of 14 the board? 15 Yes. 16 The Prime Minister was the president, and 17 certain vice-ministers were vice-presidents? 18 The vice-presidents were cabinet ministers. 19 Yes. The principal officers in China were 20 at Peiping, Shanghai; is that so? 21 Yes. 22 And there were other officers at Kalgan, in 23 Inner Mongolia, and at Amoy? 24 A Yes. I suggest to you that the China Affairs Board

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

with the exception of matters relative to China, with the exception of matters relating to the army and the navy?

A The China Affairs Board handled matters in China regarding China and Japan, but with the exception of foreign relations.

Q Now, it handled industries, transportation, economic problems; is that so?

A It handled administrative -- business affairs in relation to those items.

A And its control was effected through the
North China Development Company and the Central China
Development Company?

A Yes.

Q Through these companies, which were introlled by the China Affairs Board, the wishes or desires of the Japanese Gov. 'nment were carried out in China?

A Matters relating to economics -- relating to economy in the field of operations of the two companies were handled.

Q Yes. And the China Affairs Board also gave directions to the provisional governments in China, did it not?

A They did not give guidance.

Well, what did they give? Advice?

35,268

A I believe they did, at times.

Q What did they do about the Chinese school textbooks?

A I don't remember well.

Q If I told you that the China Affairs Board revised those textbooks, would that refresh your memory?

A Since textbooks and other cultural matters were handled by the Cultural Section, I do not have any thorough knowledge as to those matters.

*	
1	Q Well, just give me the knowledge you have,
1	General SUZUKI.
2	A I do not know whether or not the China Affairs
3	Board actually revised the textbooks of China itself.
4	Q Well, what textbooks did they revise?
5	A The very fact as to whether or not they re-
6	vised the textbooks is not in my recollection.
7	Q All right. Is there anything in your recollec-
8	tion about the control of opium and narcotics?
9	A No.
0	through the political divisio
1	of your China Affairs Board, was it not?
12	A No, I think the matters relating to opium were
13	
14	handled by the economic section. Nell, who handled the profits from the opium.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	any of them placed by you in a secret fund?
20	A NO, absolucely most
21	Q Who was the head of the hong at hanghai?
22	A I don't know.
23	You said something a rew moments
24	your board not having anything to do with diplomacy.
25	

Am I right in saying that?

4 5

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

17 18

19

16

20 21

23 24

25

22

A It had nothing to do with Japan's relations ... with third nations in China.

O Is it not a fact that when the China Affairs
Board was being organized, there was opposition to its
establishment from the Foreign Ministry?

A I learned of that later, but since I was in Manchukuo at the time of the founding -- up to the time of the founding of the China Affairs Board, I did not' know anything about those circumstances.

Q You will remmer the resignation of Foreign Minister UGAKI?

A Yes.

Nas one of the reasons for his resignation the creation of the China Affairs Board, and the consequent limitation placed upon diplomatic relations with China?

A From what Prince KONOYE told me, General UGAKI's reason for resigning was incomprehensible.

T suggest to you that the China Affairs Board was established and organized because the military authorities did not want the Foreign Office interfering in China affairs.

A I never heard about those circumstances.

Now, reverting to page 10 of your affidavit, at the top -- of the English -- I see that you say that many of the features of the policy relative to the China 1 2 3

4

6

8

9

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

Incident and decided by the High Command and the government were diametrically opposed to your fundamental ideas on China. What was the policy decided upon by the Higher Command and the government?

A The decisions that were standing at the time I returned to Japan were that of January 1938 and of October 1938 -- these two.

Q Well, what were they?

A I don't remember all the details, but I will tell you as far as I do remember. The general line of those two decisions were that the --

Correction: That Japan hoped for the establishment of a new government in China, and in cooperation with that government would work for the stability of East Asia and for Fino-Japanese cooperation.

Q And was that diametrically opposed to your fundamental idea?

A Yes.

Q And what was your idea?

A I felt that though the army talked of establishing a new regime in China, such a regime would naturally be established under the authority and influence of the Japanese Army, and it would be difficult to hope for a spontaneous expression of will on the part of the Chinese people.

THE MONITOR: To establish a government of their own.

A (Continuing) Furthermore, I felt that it was wrong for Japan to possess an economic system in China based on Japanese laws along that line.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: I wonder, Mr. President, if I might relinquish the lectern to Mr. Logan, who has a brief statement to make to the Tribunal, and continue with my cross-examination in the morning?

ACTING PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, in my cross-examination of Admiral SHI ADA, I posed several questions on Captain Robinson's cross-examination on the basis of misquotation of KIDO's affidavit and diary, as it was shown in the transcript. I was subsequently informed by the court reporters that there was an error in the reporting of Captain Robinson's question, and that there was no misquotation by Captain Robinson.

I regret the incident, and ask that the record be corrected accordingly.

ACTING PRESIDENT: It will be so corrected.

MR. LOGAN: Thank you.

ACTING PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until ninethirty Monday morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment

2

6

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

```
was taken until Monday, 15 December 1947,
        at 0930.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```